UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

(RULE 14a-101)

SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Filed	by the F	Registrant x Filed by a Party other than the Registrant \square
Check	the app	propriate box:
	Prelii	ninary Proxy Statement.
	Conf	idential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2)).
X	Defir	nitive Proxy Statement.
	Defir	nitive Additional Materials.
	Solic	iting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12.
		TCG BDC, Inc.
		(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter) (Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)
Paym	ent of F	iling Fee (Check the appropriate box):
X	No fe	ee required.
	Fee c	omputed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.
	(1)	Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
	(2)	Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
	(3)	Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):
	(4)	Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:
	(5)	Total fee paid:
	Fee p	aid previously with preliminary materials.
		k box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid ously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.
	(1)	Amount Previously Paid:
	(2)	Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
	(3)	Filing Party:
	(4)	Date Filed:

TCG BDC, Inc. 520 Madison Avenue, 40th Floor New York, NY 10022

April 27, 2018

To Our Stockholders:

We are pleased to invite you to attend the 2018 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the "Meeting") of TCG BDC, Inc. ("we," "us," "our," "TCG BDC" or the "Company") to be held on June 6, 2018, at 8:00 a.m. Eastern time, at the offices of TCG BDC, Inc., 520 Madison Avenue, 33rd Floor, New York, NY 10022.

The following pages include a formal notice of the meeting and our proxy statement. The Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials you received in the mail and our proxy statement describe the matters on the agenda for the meeting. Please read these materials so that you will know what we intend to act on at the meeting.

On March 23, 2018, an amendment to the Investment Company Act of 1940 was signed into law, which permits a "business development company" like us to take actions to increase the amount of indebtedness and other senior securities that we may issue. For the reasons explained in the accompanying proxy statement, we believe this is a positive development and, accordingly, we are seeking your approval to take advantage of this development at the earliest possible time.

In addition, you will be asked to consider and vote upon proposals to re-elect two of our directors and to ratify the selection of Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2018.

After careful consideration, our Board of Directors, including our directors that are not "interested persons" as defined in Section 2(a)(19) of the 1940 Act, has determined that each of the proposals to be considered and voted on at the Meeting is in the best interests of the Company and its stockholders. Our Board of Directors unanimously recommends that you vote "FOR" the approval of each of the proposals to be considered and voted on at the Meeting.

This Meeting is particularly significant and your vote is extremely important. The proposals require the holders of at least 50% of the Company's outstanding shares to be present at the Meeting in order for the proposals to be voted upon. It is important that your shares be represented at the meeting, regardless of whether you plan to attend the meeting in person. Please vote your shares as soon as possible through any of the voting options available to you as described in our proxy statement.

On behalf of management and our Board of Directors, we thank you for your continued support of TCG BDC, Inc.

Sincerely,

/s/ Michael A. Hart

Michael A. Hart

Chief Executive Officer and Chairman

New York, NY April 27, 2018

TCG BDC, Inc. 520 Madison Avenue, 40th Floor New York, NY 10022

Notice of the 2018 Annual Meeting of Stockholders

TO OUR STOCKHOLDERS:

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the 2018 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the "Meeting") of TCG BDC, Inc. ("we," "us," "our," "TCG BDC" or the "the "Company") will be held at the offices of the Company, 520 Madison Avenue, 33rd Floor, New York, NY 10022 on June 6, 2018, at 8:00 a.m. Eastern time. The Meeting is being held for the following purposes:

- 1. To elect two directors, each to serve for a three-year term until his successor is duly elected and qualified or until his earlier death, resignation or removal.
- 2. To ratify the selection of Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2018.
- 3. To consider and vote upon a proposal to approve the application of a minimum asset coverage ratio of 150% to the Company, which would permit the Company to double the maximum amount of leverage that it is permitted to incur.
- 4. To transact such other business as may properly come before the Meeting or at any postponement or adjournment thereof.

Only stockholders of record at the close of business on April 23, 2018 are entitled to notice of and to vote at the Meeting or at any postponement or adjournment thereof.

We are furnishing a proxy statement and proxy card to our stockholders on the internet, rather than mailing printed copies of those materials to each stockholder. Since you received a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials by mail, you will not receive a printed copy of the proxy statement and proxy card unless you request them by following the instructions on the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials. The Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials will instruct you as to how you may access and review the proxy statement, and vote your proxy. If you have not received a copy of the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials, please contact us by mail sent to the attention of the Secretary of the Company, Erik Barrios, at our principal executive offices located at 520 Madison Avenue, 41st Floor, New York, NY 10022 or you can call us by dialing 212-813-4900.

Your vote is extremely important to us. If you are unable to attend the Meeting, we encourage you to vote your proxy by following the instructions provided on the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials or the proxy card. Stockholders may also request from us free of charge printed copies of the proxy statement and proxy card by following the instructions on the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials. In the event there are not sufficient votes for a quorum at the time of the Meeting, the Meeting may be adjourned in order to permit further solicitation of proxies by the Company.

Our Board of Directors unanimously recommends that you vote "FOR" the approval of each of the proposals to be considered and voted on at the Meeting.

The enclosed proxy statement provides a detailed description of the Meeting, each of the proposals and other related matters. **We urge you to read the proxy statement carefully and in its entirety.**

By Order of the Board of Directors,

/s/ Erik Barrios

Erik Barrios Secretary

New York, NY April 27, 2018

The proxy statement, a form of proxy card and the Company's 2017 annual report to the stockholders, which consists of the Company's annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017, are available online at https://proxyonline.com/docs/tcgbdc2018.pdf. If you plan on attending the Meeting, whether or not you intend to vote your shares in person, you will need to bring photo identification and proof of ownership of your shares as of the record date in order to be admitted to the Meeting. To obtain directions to the Meeting, please call the Company at 866-796-7181.

Stockholders are requested to execute and return promptly the accompanying proxy card, which is being solicited by the Board of Directors of the Company. You may execute the proxy card using the methods described in the proxy card. Executing and returning the proxy card is important to ensure a quorum at the Meeting. Stockholders also have the option to authorize their proxies by telephone or Internet by following the instructions printed on the proxy card. Any proxy given pursuant to this solicitation may be revoked by notice from the person giving the proxy at any time before it is exercised, subject to the voting deadlines that are described in the accompanying proxy statement. Any such notice of revocation should be provided by the stockholder in the same manner as the proxy being revoked.

TCG BDC, Inc. 520 Madison Avenue, 40th Floor New York, NY 10022

PROXY STATEMENT FOR THE 2018 ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

The accompanying proxy is solicited on behalf of the Board of Directors (the "Board" or the "Directors") of TCG BDC, Inc., which is sometimes referred to in this proxy statement as "TCG BDC," "we," "us," "our" or the "Company," for use at the Company's 2018 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the "Meeting") to be held at the offices of the Company, 520 Madison Avenue, 33rd Floor, New York, NY 10022 on June 6, 2018, at 8:00 a.m. Eastern time. Only holders of record of our common stock at the close of business on April 23, 2018 (the "Record Date") will be entitled to notice of and to vote at the Meeting. At the close of business on the Record Date, we had 62,568,659 shares of common stock outstanding and entitled to vote at the Meeting.

In accordance with rules and regulations adopted by the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"), we have elected to provide our stockholders access to our proxy materials on the Internet, including the proxy statement and the accompanying form of proxy (collectively, the "Proxy Statement") and the Company's annual report to stockholders (the "Annual Report"), which consists of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017 and an amendment thereto (the "2017 Form 10-K"). Accordingly, a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials (the "Notice") was distributed on or about April 27, 2018 to our stockholders of record as of the close of business on the Record Date. Stockholders are able to (1) access the proxy materials on a website referred to in the Notice or (2) request that a printed set of the proxy materials be sent, at no cost to them, by following the instructions in the Notice. You will need your 12-digit control number that is included with the Notice to authorize your proxy for your shares through the Internet. If you have not received a copy of the Notice, please contact us by mail sent to the attention of the Secretary of the Company, Erik Barrios, at our principal executive offices located at 520 Madison Avenue, 41st Floor, New York, NY 10022 or you can call us by dialing 212-813-4900.

All proxies will be voted in accordance with the instructions contained therein. Unless contrary instructions are specified, if the accompanying proxy is executed and returned (and not revoked) prior to the Meeting, the shares of TCG BDC's common stock represented by the proxy will be voted (1) **FOR** the election of two Director candidates nominated by the Board, (2) **FOR** the ratification of the selection of Ernst & Young LLP ("EY") as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2018 and (3) **FOR** the proposal to approve the application of a minimum asset coverage ratio of 150% to the Company, which would permit the Company to double the maximum amount of leverage that it is permitted to incur (the "Asset Coverage Ratio Proposal"). Should any matter not described above be properly presented at the Meeting, the named proxies will have discretion to vote the shares thereby represented in accordance with their judgment.

Voting Rights

Holders of our common stock are entitled to one vote for each share held as of the Record Date.

The Meeting is being held for the following purposes:

- 1. To elect two Directors, each to serve for a three-year term until his successor is duly elected and qualified or until his earlier death, resignation or removal.
- 2. To ratify the selection of EY as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2018.
- 3. To approve the Asset Coverage Ratio Proposal.
- 4. To transact such other business as may properly come before the Meeting or at any postponement or adjournment thereof.

Quorum, Effect of Abstentions and Broker Non-Votes, Vote Required to Approve the Proposals

A majority of the outstanding shares of common stock must be present or represented by proxy at the Meeting in order to have a quorum. If you have properly voted by proxy online or via mail and did not subsequently revoke your proxy, you will be considered part of the quorum. We will count "abstain" and "withhold" votes as present for the purpose of establishing a quorum for the transaction of business at the Meeting. If at any time shares are held through brokers, we will count broker non-votes, if any, as present for the purpose of establishing a quorum. A broker non-vote occurs when a broker holding shares for a beneficial owner votes on some matters on the proxy card, but not on others, because the broker does not have instructions from the beneficial owner or discretionary authority (or declines to exercise discretionary authority) with respect to those other matters. Stockholders do not have cumulative voting rights or rights of appraisal.

Provided a quorum is present, Directors are elected by a plurality of the votes represented at the Meeting and voted for nominee(s) in the election. Under plurality voting, candidates receiving the highest number of votes will be elected, even if they receive a favorable vote of less than a majority of the Company's outstanding shares. A proxy marked "withhold" with respect to a Director nominee will result in such Director nominee receiving one fewer "FOR" vote that would count towards a plurality.

The affirmative vote of the majority of shares represented at the Meeting and voting on the proposal will determine the outcome of (i) the ratification of our independent registered public accounting firm and (ii) the Asset Coverage Ratio Proposal.

For each of these proposals, "abstain" votes and broker non-votes, if any, though counted for purposes of establishing a quorum, will have no effect on the outcome of the vote.

The inspector of elections appointed for the Meeting will separately tabulate affirmative and "withhold" votes, "abstain" votes and broker non-votes.

Adjournment of Meeting

In the event that a quorum is not achieved at the Meeting, either in person or represented by proxy, the chairman of the Meeting shall have the power to adjourn the Meeting without any future date designated for resumption or from time to time not more than 120 days after the original Record Date without notice other than the announcement at the Meeting to permit further solicitation of proxies. If the Meeting is adjourned and a quorum is present at such adjournment, any business may be transacted which might have been transacted at the Meeting as originally notified.

The stockholders present either in person or by proxy at a meeting which has been duly called and at which a quorum has been established may continue to transact business until adjournment (that is, the adjourned meeting), notwithstanding the withdrawal from the meeting of enough stockholders to leave fewer than would be required to establish a quorum.

Proxies for the Meeting

The named proxies for the Meeting are Erik Barrios and Venugopal Rathi (or their duly authorized designees), who will follow submitted proxy voting instructions. In the absence of instructions to the contrary, it is the intention of the persons named as proxies to vote such proxy **FOR** the election of each Director nominee named below, **FOR** the ratification of the selection of EY as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2018 and **FOR** the Asset Coverage Ratio Proposal, and to vote on any other matters properly presented at the Meeting in their judgment.

Expenses of Soliciting Proxies

The Company will bear the expense of the solicitation of proxies for the Meeting, including the cost of preparing, printing and distributing the Notice and, if requested, this Proxy Statement, the accompanying Notice of

Annual Meeting of Stockholders, the proxy card, and the Annual Report, which consists of the Company's 2017 Form 10-K.

In addition to the solicitation of proxies by mail or e-mail, proxies may be solicited in person and by telephone or facsimile transmission by Directors and officers of the Company, or certain employees of and affiliates of the Company's investment adviser without special compensation therefor. The Company has also retained AST Fund Solutions, LLC to assist in the solicitation of proxies for a base fee of approximately \$2,500, plus additional fees per contact with stockholders and for reimbursement of reasonable out-of-pocket expenses.

Householding of Proxy Materials

Under rules adopted by the SEC, companies and intermediaries (e.g., brokers) may satisfy the delivery requirements for proxy statements and annual reports with respect to two or more stockholders sharing the same address by delivering a single proxy statement and annual report addressed to those stockholders. This process, which is commonly referred to as "householding," potentially means extra convenience for stockholders and cost savings for companies. The rules also apply to the delivery of the Notice.

The Company's amended and restated bylaws, as amended (the "Bylaws"), allow us to give a single notice to all stockholders who share an address, unless such stockholder objects to receiving such single notice or revokes a prior consent to receiving such single notice. A single copy of the Notice or, if applicable, our Proxy Statement and our Annual Report, will be delivered to multiple stockholders sharing an address unless contrary instructions have been received from the affected stockholders. If you have received notice from your broker that it will be "householding" communications to your address, "householding" will continue until you are notified otherwise or until you revoke your consent. We will promptly deliver a separate copy of these documents to you upon written or oral request to the attention of the Secretary of the Company, Erik Barrios, at our principal executive offices located at 520 Madison Avenue, 41st Floor, New York, NY 10022 or 212-813-4900. If, at any time, you no longer wish to participate in "householding" and would prefer to receive a separate Proxy Statement and Annual Report, please notify your broker. Stockholders who currently receive multiple copies of the Proxy Statement and Annual Report at their addresses and would like to request "householding" of their communications should contact their brokers.

Revocability of Proxies

Any proxy given pursuant to this solicitation may be revoked by notice from the person giving the proxy at any time before it is exercised, subject to the voting deadlines that are described in this Proxy Statement. Any such notice of revocation should be provided by the stockholder in the same manner as the proxy being revoked.

Contact Information for Proxy Solicitation

You can contact us by mail sent to the attention of the Secretary of the Company, Erik Barrios, at our principal executive offices located at 520 Madison Avenue, 41st Floor, New York, NY 10022. You can call us by dialing 212-813-4900. You can access our proxy materials online at https://proxyonline.com/docs/tcgbdc2018.pdf using the control number found on your Notice and in the box at the right of your Proxy Card.

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

Beneficial ownership is determined in accordance with the rules and regulations of the SEC. These rules generally provide that a person is the beneficial owner of securities if such person has or shares the power to vote or direct the voting thereof, or to dispose or direct the disposition thereof or has the right to acquire such powers within 60 days. The following table sets forth, as of the Record Date, the beneficial ownership as indicated in the Company's books and records of each current Director, each nominee for Director, each executive officer of the Company, the executive officers and Directors as a group, and each person known to us to beneficially own 5% or more of the outstanding shares of our common stock. Ownership information for those persons who beneficially own 5% or more of the outstanding shares of our common stock is based on Schedule 13G or other filings by such persons with the SEC and other information obtained from such persons.

The percentage ownership is based on 62,568,659 shares of common stock outstanding as of the Record Date. To our knowledge, except as indicated in the footnotes to the table, each of the stockholders listed below has sole voting and/or investment power with respect to shares beneficially owned by such stockholder. Unless otherwise indicated by footnote, the address for each listed individual is 520 Madison Avenue, 40th Floor, New York, NY 10022.

Name of Individual or Identity of Group	Number of Shares of Common Stock Beneficially Owned ⁽¹⁾	Percent of Common Stock Beneficially Owned ⁽¹⁾	
Directors and Executive Officers:			
Interested Directors			
Michael A. Hart ⁽²⁾	21,238	*	
Eliot P.S. Merrill ⁽³⁾	10,100	*	
Independent Directors			
Nigel D.T. Andrews ⁽⁴⁾	10,576	*	
Leslie E. Bradford	_	_	
John G. Nestor ⁽⁵⁾	10,000	*	
Executive Officers Who Are Not Directors			
Jeffrey S. Levin ⁽⁶⁾	15,782	*	
Thomas M. Hennigan ⁽⁷⁾	7,347	*	
Venugopal Rathi ⁽⁸⁾	526	*	
Erik Barrios	_	_	
All Directors and Executive Officers as a Group (nine persons)	75,569	0.1 %	
Five-Percent Stockholders:			
State of Connecticut acting through its treasurer as trustee ⁽⁹⁾	3,199,468	5.1 %	

- * Represents less than one tenth of one percent.
 - (1) For purposes of this table, a person or group is deemed to have "beneficial ownership" of any shares of common stock as of a given date which such person has or shares the power to vote or direct the voting thereof, or to dispose or direct the disposition thereof or has the right to acquire such powers within 60 days after such date. For purposes of computing the percentage of outstanding shares of common stock held by each person or group of persons named above on a given date, any security which such person or persons has the right to acquire within 60 days after such date is deemed to be outstanding for the purpose of determining the percentage of shares beneficially owned for such person, but is not deemed to be outstanding for the purpose of computing the percentage of beneficial ownership of any other person (except in the case of Directors and executive officers as a group). Except as otherwise noted, each beneficial owner of more than five percent of our common stock and each Director and executive officer has sole voting and/or investment power over the shares reported.
 - (2) Consists of 21,238 shares of common stock directly owned by Mr. Hart.
 - (3) Consists of 10,100 shares of common stock directly owned by Mr. Merrill.
 - (4) Consists of 10,576 shares of common stock directly owned by Mr. Andrews.
 - (5) Consists of 10,000 shares of common stock directly owned by Mr. Nestor.
 - (6) Consists of 15,782 shares of common stock directly owned by Mr. Levin.
 - (7) Consists of 7,347 shares of common stock directly owned by Mr. Hennigan.
 - (8) Consists of 526 shares of common stock directly owned by Mr. Rathi.
 - (9) Consists of 3,199,468 shares of common stock directly owned. The address of the State of Connecticut is 55 Elm Street, 6th Floor, Hartford, Connecticut 06106.

PROPOSAL NO. 1 ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

Our Board is presently composed of five Directors, divided into three classes, each serving staggered three-year terms. The term of our first class of Directors will expire at the 2020 annual meeting of stockholders; the term of our second class of Directors will expire at the Meeting; and the term of our third class of Directors will expire at the 2019 annual meeting of stockholders.

The Board has nominated each of Eliot P.S. Merrill and Nigel D.T. Andrews as a Director, each to serve until his successor is duly elected and qualified at the annual meeting of stockholders to be held in 2021 or until his earlier death, resignation or removal. Mr. Merrill currently serves as a Director of the Company. Mr. Merrill is an "interested person" of the Company, as defined in Section 2(a)(19) of the 1940 Act, because he presently serves as a Managing Director of The Carlyle Group L.P. ("Carlyle"), an affiliate of the Company. Mr. Andrews currently also serves as a Director of the Company, is the Chairman of the audit committee of our Board (the "Audit Committee"), and is a member of the nominating and governance committee of our Board (the "Nominating and Governance Committee") and the compensation committee of our Board (the "Compensation Committee"). The Board has determined that Mr. Andrews is not an "interested person" of the Company, of Carlyle Global Credit Investment Management L.L.C., our investment adviser (the "Adviser" or "CGCIM"), or of any of their respective affiliates as defined in Section 2(a)(19) of the 1940 Act.

Each of Messrs. Merrill and Andrews has consented to being named in this Proxy Statement and to serving as a Director if elected at the Meeting. If, for any reason, Messrs. Merrill or Andrews becomes unable or unwilling to serve at the time of the Meeting, the persons named as proxies in the proxy will have the authority to vote for a substitute nominee or nominees. We do not anticipate that Messrs. Merrill or Andrews will be unable or unwilling to serve.

The Board recommends that stockholders vote "FOR" the Company's nominees for Directors.

Biographical Information

Set forth below are brief biographies of each of Messrs. Merrill and Andrews and of all other members of the Board who will continue in office. Also included below following each biography is a brief discussion of the specific experience, qualifications, attributes or skills that led our Board to conclude that the applicable Director should serve on our Board at this time. In addition, set forth further below is a biography of each executive officer of the Company who is not a Director. Unless otherwise indicated by footnote, the address for each listed individual is 520 Madison Avenue, 40th Floor, New York, NY 10022.

Nominees for Election as Class II Directors

Set forth below is certain information relating to our Directors, including details on each Director nominee's specific experience, qualifications, attributes or skills that led the Board to conclude that the person should serve as a Director of the Company.

	Age	Position	Number of Portfolios in Fund Complex Overseen by Director ⁽¹⁾	Expiration of Term	Director Since
Eliot P.S. Merrill	47	Director (Interested)	2	2018	2013
Nigel D.T. Andrews	70	Director (Independent)	2	2018	2012

⁽¹⁾ With respect to each of Messrs. Merrill and Andrews, the portfolios in the "Fund Complex" are the Company and an affiliated fund, TCG BDC II, Inc. ("TCG BDC II"), a business development company ("BDC") that has the same investment adviser, CGCIM, and administrator, Carlyle Global Credit Administration L.L.C. (the "Administrator"), as the Company.

Eliot P.S. Merrill has served on our Board since 2013 and served as Interim Chairman of our Board from May 2016 to March 2017. Mr. Merrill has also served on the board of directors of TCG BDC II since October 2017 and of TCG BDC III, Inc. ("TCG BDC III") since April 2017. Prior to the completion of the merger of NF Investment Corp. ("NFIC") into the Company (the "NFIC Acquisition") in June 2017, Mr. Merrill served as a member of the board of directors of NFIC. Mr. Merrill is a Managing Director and Co-head of Carlyle Global Partners based in New York. Carlyle Global Partners seeks to deliver attractive risk-adjusted returns on significant sums of capital over a longer timeframe than typical private equity funds, thereby creating substantial longer-term appreciation. Mr. Merrill may from time to time serve as an officer, director or principal of entities affiliated with Carlyle or of investment vehicles managed by Carlyle and its affiliates. Before the launch of Carlyle Global Partners in 2014, Mr. Merrill was a Managing Director of Carlyle, primarily focused on U.S. buyout opportunities in the telecommunications and media sectors. Mr. Merrill is a member of the board of directors of Getty Images, TCW Group, Content Partners, and Schon Klinik and Citizen Schools of New York, a non-profit. Mr. Merrill has previously served on the boards of several other Carlyle investments, including AMC Loews and Nielson Company B.V. Prior to joining Carlyle in 2001, Mr. Merrill was a Principal at Freeman Spogli & Co., a buyout fund with offices in New York and Los Angeles. Prior to that, Mr. Merrill worked at Dillon Read & Co. Inc. in the Mergers and Acquisitions Group. Before that, Mr. Merrill was a Sail Consultant and Special Project Coordinator for Doyle Sailmakers, Inc. Mr. Merrill's depth of experience in investment management and capital markets, intimate knowledge of the business and operations of Carlyle's investment platform, and experience as a director of other public and private companies provides our Board with val

Nigel D.T. Andrews has served on our Board since 2012, and is the Chairman of the Audit Committee and a member of our Nominating and Governance Committee and Compensation Committee. Mr. Andrews has also served as a member of the board of directors of TCG BDC II and TCG BDC III since April 2017 and is the chairman of the audit committee of TCG BDC II and a member of the audit committee of TCG BDC III. Prior to the completion of the NFIC Acquisition in June 2017, Mr. Andrews served as a member of the board of directors and on the audit committee of NFIC. Mr. Andrews may from time to time serve as an independent director of other entities affiliated with Carlyle or of investment vehicles managed by Carlyle or its affiliates. Mr. Andrews recently retired from his roles as governor at London Business School, a director and a member of the audit and remuneration committees at Old Mutual plc., and Chairman of Old Mutual Asset Management, where he served from 2002 to 2014. Mr. Andrews continues to actively manage his own private investments and to serve as a trustee of Victory Funds, a position he has held since 2002. From 2000 to 2010, Mr. Andrews served on the board of directors of Chemtura Corporation, a New York Stock Exchange listed company. Mr. Andrews also served as a Managing Director of Internet Capital Group, Inc. from 2000 to 2001. From 1987 to 2000, Mr. Andrews held various senior management positions within General Electric Company, including Executive Vice President of GE Capital from 1993 to 2000 and, prior to that, Vice President and General Manager of GE Plastics-Americas. During Mr. Andrews' 13-year career with GE, he also served as a Vice President for Corporate Business Development and Strategy reporting to the chairman of the board. Prior to joining GE, Mr. Andrews was a partner at Booz Allen Hamilton Inc. He began his career in business management at Shell International Chemical Company. Mr. Andrews' broad executive experience with the operations and transactions of industrial and financial servic

Incumbent Class III Directors: Term Expiring 2019

	Age	Position	Number of Portfolios in Fund Complex Overseen by Director ⁽¹⁾	Expiration of Term	Director Since
Leslie E. Bradford	62	Director (Independent)	2	2019	2017
John G. Nestor	72	Director (Independent)	2	2019	2013

(1) With respect to each of Ms. Bradford and Mr. Nestor, the portfolios in the "Fund Complex" are the Company and TCG BDC II.

Leslie E. Bradford has served on our Board since October 2017 and is a member of our Audit Committee, Nominating and Governance Committee and Compensation Committee. Ms. Bradford has also served as a member of the board of directors and the audit committee of TCG BDC II since October 2017. Ms. Bradford may from time to time serve as an independent director of other entities affiliated with Carlyle or of investment vehicles managed by Carlyle or its affiliates. From 2011 to 2013, Ms. Bradford was a senior advisor and director of the Alumni Network of Morgan Stanley. Prior to that, Ms. Bradford had risk management and advisory responsibilities throughout all business unit and support areas of Morgan Stanley over a 25+ year career. Prior to joining Morgan Stanley, Ms. Bradford was a vice president in the corporate division of Irving Trust Company from 1977 to 1985 and was responsible for the development of corporate client lending and non-lending business in Northeastern United States. Ms. Bradford has also served on the boards and committees of various organizations, including as a former trustee of the American Foundation for the Blind, a former trustee of the Morgan Stanley Foundation, and a Dartmouth College Fund Committee member. Ms. Bradford holds an undergraduate degree in Religion from Dartmouth College and an MBA in Finance from the New York University Graduate School of Business. Ms. Bradford's broad industry experience in corporate, financial, and public sectors have provided her with an abundance of skills and valuable insight in handling complex transactions and issues, all of which makes her well qualified to serve on our Board.

John G. Nestor has served on our Board since 2013, and is a member of our Audit Committee, Nominating and Governance Committee and Compensation Committee. Mr. Nestor is also a member of the board of directors and the audit committee of each of TCG BDC II and TCG BDC III. Prior to the completion of the NFIC Acquisition in June 2017, Mr. Nestor was a member of the board of directors and on the audit committee of NFIC. Mr. Nestor may from time to time serve as an independent director of other entities affiliated with Carlyle or of investment vehicles managed by Carlyle or its affiliates. Mr. Nestor joined Kirtland Capital Partners in March 1986. He is chairman and senior managing partner of this private investment firm. Prior to joining Kirtland Capital Partners, Mr. Nestor worked for 16 years for Continental Illinois Bank. For eight years he focused on lending to small businesses in the Chicago area. In 1977 Mr. Nestor was transferred to Philadelphia where he was involved in commercial lending and in 1979 he moved to Cleveland to manage Continental's Cleveland Office. Mr. Nestor is chairman of the board of directors of SmartSource Computer and Audio Visual Rentals, and he is also a member of the board of directors of Form Tech Concrete Forms. Mr. Nestor serves as a trustee of the Kelvin and Eleanor Smith Foundation and as the board chair of Deaconess Community Foundation. Mr. Nestor is the former chairman of the board of trustees of the Cleveland Foodbank and The Diversity Center. Mr. Nestor is an experienced leader whose numerous board and advisory positions and experiences in the middle markets provide our Board valuable insights.

Incumbent Class I Director: Term Expiring 2020

	Age	Position	Number of Portfolios in Fund Complex Overseen by Director ⁽¹⁾	Expiration of Term	Director Since
Michael A. Hart	56	Chairman of the Board and Chief	2	2020	2015
		Executive Officer (Interested)			

(1) With respect to Mr. Hart, the portfolios in the "Fund Complex" are the Company and TCG BDC II.

Michael A. Hart has served on our Board since March 2015, as our Chairman of the Board since March 2017 and our Chief Executive Officer since May 2016. Mr. Hart is also Chairman of the Adviser's Investment Committee. Mr. Hart has also served on the board of directors and as the chief executive officer of TCG BDC III and TCG BDC III since April 2017. Prior to the completion of the NFIC Acquisition in June 2017, Mr. Hart served as a director on the board of directors of NFIC and as the chief executive officer of NFIC. Mr. Hart is also Head of Carlyle Direct Lending. Mr. Hart may from time to time serve as an officer, director or principal of entities affiliated with Carlyle or of investment vehicles managed by Carlyle and its affiliates. Mr. Hart has over 26 years of capital markets, corporate finance, M&A, risk management, accounting and managerial experience. Prior to joining Carlyle in 2014, Mr. Hart was a Managing Director in the Financial Markets Advisory group at BlackRock Solutions, where he

served as co-head of the U.S. advisory practice and was a member of the BlackRock Solutions Operating Committee. Prior to joining BlackRock Solutions, Mr. Hart spent 12 years with Morgan Stanley where his responsibilities included Global Co-Head of Leveraged and Acquisition Finance, Global Head of the Loan Products Group and Co-Chairman of the firm's Capital Commitment Committee. Mr. Hart is an experienced leader whose extensive experience in capital markets, corporate finance and risk management provides our Board with valuable insight and leadership.

Equity Owned by Directors and Nominees in the Company

The following table sets forth the dollar range of equity securities of the Company beneficially owned by each Director or Director nominee as of the Record Date.

	Dollar Range of our Common Stock Beneficially Owned in the Company ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	Aggregate Dollar Range of our Common Stock Beneficially Owned in the Fund Complex ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾
Interested Directors		
Michael A. Hart	Over \$100,000	Over \$100,000
Eliot P.S. Merrill	Over \$100,000	Over \$100,000
Independent Directors		
Nigel D.T. Andrews	Over \$100,000	Over \$100,000
Leslie E. Bradford	None	None
John G. Nestor	Over \$100,000	Over \$100,000

- (1) The dollar ranges used in the above table are: None, \$1-\$10,000, \$10,001-\$50,000, \$50,001-\$100,000, or over \$100,000.
- (2) Dollar ranges were determined using the number of shares that are beneficially owned as of the Record Date, multiplied by the Company's net asset value ("NAV") per share as of December 31, 2017. The dollar range of equity securities of TCG BDC II were determined using the number of shares that are beneficially owned as of the Record Date, multiplied by TCG BDC II's NAV per share as of December 31, 2017.
- (3) The term "Fund Complex" refers to both the Company and TCG BDC II. Each of the Company's Directors oversees both funds in the Fund Complex.

Information Regarding Executive Officers Who Are Not Directors

	Age	Position	Number of Portfolios in Fund Complex Overseen by Officer ⁽¹⁾	Officer Since
Jeffrey S. Levin	37	President	2	2016
Thomas M. Hennigan	41	Chief Financial Officer Chief Risk Officer	2	2018 2016
Venugopal Rathi	38	Treasurer	2	2015
Erik Barrios	39	Chief Compliance Officer and Secretary	2	2018

⁽¹⁾ The term "Fund Complex" refers to both the Company and TCG BDC II. Each of the Company's executive officers who are not Directors oversees both funds in the Fund Complex.

Jeffrey S. Levin was appointed as our President in May 2016. Mr. Levin has also served as the president of TCG BDC II and TCG BDC III since April 2017. Prior to the completion of the NFIC Acquisition in June 2017, Mr. Levin served as the president of NFIC. Prior to his appointment as our President, Mr. Levin served as the Head of Origination. Mr. Levin may from time to time serve as an officer, director or principal of entities affiliated with Carlyle or of investment vehicles managed by Carlyle and its affiliates. Prior to joining Carlyle in 2012, Mr. Levin was a founding member of Morgan Stanley Credit Partners, a corporate debt fund, where he was responsible for

originating, structuring and executing credit and private equity investments across various industries. Prior to that role, Mr. Levin was a member of the Leveraged & Acquisition Finance Group at Morgan Stanley where he was responsible for originating and executing high yield bond and leveraged loan transactions.

Thomas M. Hennigan was appointed as our Chief Financial Officer in March 2018 and our Chief Risk Officer in 2016. Mr. Hennigan also serves as the chief financial officer of BDC II since March 2018, and the chief risk officer of TCG BDC II and TCG BDC III since April 2017. He has been our Head of Underwriting and Portfolio Management since inception. In addition, Mr. Hennigan serves as the Chief Risk Officer for Carlyle Direct Lending. Prior to the completion of the NFIC Acquisition in June 2017, Mr. Hennigan served as the chief risk officer of NFIC. Mr. Hennigan may from time to time serve as an officer, director or principal of entities affiliated with Carlyle or of investment vehicles managed by Carlyle and its affiliates. Prior to joining Carlyle in 2011, Mr. Hennigan was a senior vice president and head of underwriting and portfolio management for Churchill Financial LLC, which he joined in 2006. In this role, Mr. Hennigan was responsible for managing Churchill Financial's underwriting and portfolio management activities, including supervising the professionals involved in the underwriting process and overseeing the firm's regular portfolio review meetings. Mr. Hennigan joined Churchill Financial from GE Corporate Financial Services. During his four years at GE, Mr. Hennigan had underwriting and portfolio management responsibilities in the Global Sponsor Finance Group and in the Global Media and Communications Group. Mr. Hennigan began his career with Wachovia Securities, Inc. in 1998, where he worked in middle market investment banking and loan syndications.

Venugopal Rathi was appointed as our Treasurer in 2015 and is our principal accounting officer for SEC reporting purposes. Mr. Rathi has also served as the treasurer of TCG BDC II and TCG BDC III since April 2017. Mr. Rathi served as our Chief Financial Officer from 2015 to March 2018 and the chief financial officer of TCG BDC II and TCG BDC III from April 2017 to March 2018. Prior to the completion of the NFIC Acquisition in June 2017, Mr. Rathi served as the chief financial officer and treasurer of NFIC. Mr. Rathi may from time to time serve as an officer, director or principal of entities affiliated with Carlyle or of investment vehicles managed by Carlyle and its affiliates. Prior to joining Carlyle, Mr. Rathi worked at EY and provided assurance and advisory services to a wide variety of clients in the financial services industry. Mr. Rathi has extensive knowledge of fund-level and vehicle-level accounting, accounting principles generally accepted in the United States ("U.S. GAAP") and SOX compliance, valuation, and financial reporting practices across a wide range of investment strategies, including carry funds, hedge funds, CLOs, BDCs and mutual funds.

Erik Barrios was appointed as our Chief Compliance Officer and Secretary in 2018 and is a Vice President of Carlyle. Mr. Barrios has also served as the chief compliance officer and secretary of TCG BDC II since February 2018. Mr. Barrios may from time to time serve as an officer, director or principal of entities affiliated with Carlyle or of investment vehicles managed by Carlyle and its affiliates. Prior to joining Carlyle, Mr. Barrios was Counsel at Avenue Capital Group, where he was responsible for legal matters relating to the firm's registered investment company business. Prior to that role, he was an Associate General Counsel at Cohen & Steers, where he focused on the firm's registered investment company clients.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Our Board of Directors

Board Composition

Our Board consists of five members. Pursuant to our Articles of Amendment and Restatement, the Board is divided into three classes, with the members of each class each serving staggered, three-year terms. The term of our Class I Directors will expire at the 2020 annual meeting of stockholders; the term of our Class II Directors will expire at the Meeting; and the term of our Class III Directors will expire at the 2019 annual meeting of stockholders.

Mr. Hart serves as Class I Director (with a term expiring in 2020). Messrs. Merrill and Andrews serve as Class II Directors (with a term expiring at the Meeting). Ms. Bradford and Mr. Nestor serve as Class III Directors (with a term expiring in 2019). Any Class II Directors elected at the Meeting will have a term expiring in 2021.

Independent Directors

Pursuant to Section 56 of the 1940 Act, a majority of a BDC's board of directors must be comprised of persons who are not "interested persons" of the Company, of the Adviser, or of any of their respective affiliates, as defined in Section 2(a)(19) of the 1940 Act ("Independent Directors").

Consistent with these considerations, after review of all relevant transactions and relationships between each Director, or any of his or her family members, and the Company, the Adviser, or of any of their respective affiliates, the Board has determined that each of Ms. Bradford and Messrs. Andrews and Nestor qualifies as an Independent Director. Each Director who serves on the Audit Committee is an Independent Director for purposes of Rule 10A-3 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act").

Interested Directors

Each of Messrs. Hart and Merrill is considered an "interested person" (as defined in the 1940 Act) of the Company because of his respective relationship with us, our Adviser or affiliated persons of the Adviser (each, an "Interested Director").

Meetings and Attendance

Our Board met nine times during the year ended December 31, 2017, including four regular quarterly meetings and five special meetings, and acted on various occasions by written consent. No incumbent Director attended fewer than 75% of the aggregate of the total number of meetings of the Board (held during the period for which he or she has been a Director) and the total number of meetings held by all committees of the Board on which he or she served (during the period that he or she served).

Board Attendance

All Directors are expected to attend at least 75% of the aggregate number of meetings of our Board and of the respective committees on which they serve. We require each Director to make a diligent effort to attend all Board and committee meetings. The Company encourages, but does not require, the members of the Board to attend the Company's annual meeting of its stockholders. One Director attended our 2017 annual meeting of stockholders.

Board Leadership Structure

Our Board monitors and performs an oversight role with respect to our business and affairs, including with respect to our investment practices and performance, compliance with regulatory requirements and the services, expenses and performance of our service providers. Among other things, our Board approves the appointment of our Adviser and officers, reviews and monitors the services and activities performed by our Adviser and executive officers, and approves the engagement and reviews the performance of our independent registered public accounting firm.

Under our Bylaws, our Board may designate a Chairman to preside over the meetings of our Board and meetings of the stockholders and to perform such other duties as may be assigned to him by the Board. We do not have a fixed policy as to whether the Chairman of the Board should be an Independent Director, and we believe that we should maintain the flexibility to select the Chairman and reorganize the leadership structure, from time to time, based on criteria that are in our best interests and our stockholders' best interests at such times.

Presently, Mr. Hart serves as Chairman of our Board. Mr. Hart is an Interested Director. We believe that Mr. Hart's extensive knowledge of the financial services industry and capital markets in particular qualifies him to serve as the Chairman of our Board. We believe that we are best served through this existing leadership structure, as Mr. Hart's relationship with our Adviser provides an effective bridge and encourages an open dialogue between management and our Board, ensuring that both groups act with a common purpose.

Our Board does not currently have a designated lead Independent Director. We are aware of the potential conflicts that may arise when an Interested Director is Chairman of the Board, but believe these potential conflicts

are offset by our strong corporate governance policies. Our corporate governance policies include regular meetings of the Independent Directors in executive session without the presence of Interested Directors and management, the establishment of an Audit Committee comprised solely of Independent Directors and the appointment of a Chief Compliance Officer, with whom the Independent Directors meet regularly without the presence of Interested Directors and other members of management, for administering our compliance policies and procedures.

We recognize that different board leadership structures are appropriate for companies in different situations.

Role in Risk Oversight

Our Board performs its risk oversight function primarily through (a) its standing Audit Committee, which reports to the entire Board and is comprised solely of Independent Directors, and (b) active monitoring by our Chief Compliance Officer and of the operation of our compliance policies and procedures. As described below in more detail under "Committees of the Board of Directors," the Audit Committee assists our Board in fulfilling its risk oversight responsibilities. The Audit Committee's risk oversight responsibilities include overseeing the internal audit staff (sourced through the Administrator and The Carlyle Group Employee Co., L.L.C. ("Carlyle Employee Co."), with whom we have a personnel agreement), accounting and financial reporting processes, our valuation process, our systems of internal controls regarding finance and accounting and audits of our financial statements.

Our Board also performs its risk oversight responsibilities with the assistance of the Chief Compliance Officer. Our Board annually reviews a written report from the Chief Compliance Officer discussing the adequacy and effectiveness of our compliance policies and procedures and our service providers. The Chief Compliance Officer's annual report addresses, at a minimum: (a) the operation of our compliance policies and procedures and our service providers since the last report; (b) any material changes to such policies and procedures since the last report; (c) any recommendations for material changes to such policies and procedures as a result of the Chief Compliance Officer's annual review; and (d) any compliance matter that has occurred since the date of the last report about which our Board would reasonably need to know to oversee our compliance activities and risks. In addition, the Chief Compliance Officer meets separately in executive session with the Independent Directors at least four times each year.

We believe that our Board's role in risk oversight is effective and appropriate given the extensive regulation to which we are already subject as a BDC. As a BDC, we are required to comply with certain regulatory requirements that control the levels of risk in our business and operations. For example, our ability to incur indebtedness is limited such that our asset coverage must equal at least 200% or, effective April 9, 2019 (unless the Company's stockholders approve the Asset Coverage Ratio Proposal at the Meeting, in which case effective as of the first day after the Meeting), 150%, immediately after each time we incur indebtedness, we generally have to invest at least 70% of our total assets in "qualifying assets" and we are not generally permitted to invest in any portfolio company in which one of our affiliates currently has an investment.

We recognize that different board roles in risk oversight are appropriate for companies in different situations. We intend to re-examine the manners in which our Board administers its oversight function on an ongoing basis to ensure that they continue to meet our needs.

Communications with Directors

Our Board has established procedures whereby our stockholders and other interested parties may communicate with any member of our Board, the chairman of any of our Board committees or with our Independent Directors as a group by mail addressed to the applicable Directors or Director group, in the care of the Secretary of the Company, Erik Barrios, TCG BDC, Inc., 520 Madison Avenue, 41st Floor, New York, NY 10022. Such communications should specify the intended recipient or recipients. All such communications, other than unsolicited commercial solicitations, will be forwarded to the appropriate Director, or Directors, for review.

SOX Code of Ethics

The Company has adopted a Code of Ethics for Principal Executive and Senior Financial Officers under the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, as amended (the "SOX Code of Ethics"), which applies to, among others, our principal executive officer and principal financial officer. There have been no material changes to our SOX Code of Ethics or material waivers of the SOX Code of Ethics that apply to our Chief Executive Officer or Chief Financial Officer. We hereby undertake to provide a copy of the SOX Code of Ethics to any person, without charge, upon request. Requests for a copy of the SOX Code of Ethics may be made in writing addressed to the Secretary of the Company, Erik Barrios, TCG BDC, Inc., 520 Madison Avenue, 41st Floor, New York, NY 10022.

Committees of the Board of Directors

Our Board has established an Audit Committee, a Compensation Committee and a Nominating and Governance Committee, and may establish additional committees in the future.

Audit Committee

The Audit Committee is currently composed of Messrs. Andrews and Nestor and Ms. Bradford, all of whom are Independent Directors. Mr. Andrews serves as Chairman of the Audit Committee. Our Board has determined that Mr. Andrews is an "audit committee financial expert" as that term is defined under Item 407 of Regulation S-K, as promulgated under the Exchange Act. Each of Messrs. Andrews and Nestor and Ms. Bradford meets the current independence and experience requirements of Rule 10A-3 of the Exchange Act. The Audit Committee operates pursuant to a charter approved by our Board, which sets forth the responsibilities of the Audit Committee. The Audit Committee's responsibilities include establishing guidelines and making recommendations to our Board regarding the valuation of our loans and investments, selecting our independent registered public accounting firm, reviewing with such independent registered public accounting firm the planning, scope and results of their audit of our financial statements, pre-approving the fees for services performed, reviewing with the independent registered public accounting firm the adequacy of internal control systems, reviewing our annual financial statements, overseeing internal audit staff and periodic filings and receiving our audit reports and financial statements.

The Audit Committee held eight meetings during the year ended December 31, 2017.

The Audit Committee's charter is available on our website at: www.tcgbdc.com.

Nominating and Governance Committee

The Nominating and Governance Committee is currently composed of Messrs. Andrews and Nestor and Ms. Bradford, each of whom is independent for purposes of the 1940 Act and is independent for listing exchange corporate governance regulations. Mr. Nestor serves as Chairman of the Nominating and Governance Committee. The Nominating and Governance Committee is responsible for (i) developing, reviewing and, as appropriate, updating certain policies regarding the nomination of directors and recommending such policies or any changes in such policies to the Board for approval, (ii) identifying individuals qualified to become directors, (iii) evaluating and recommending to the Board nominees to fill vacancies on the Board or committees thereof or to stand for election by the stockholders of the Company, (iv) reviewing the Company's policies relating to corporate governance and recommending any changes in such policies to the Board, and (v) overseeing the evaluation of the Board (including its leadership structure) and its committees.

The Nominating and Governance held one meeting during the year ended December 31, 2017.

The Nominating and Governance Committee's charter is available on our website at: www.tcgbdc.com.

The Nominating and Governance Committee considers nominees properly recommended by stockholders in compliance with the procedures set forth in our Bylaws. Our Bylaws provide with respect to an annual meeting of stockholders, nominations of persons for election to the Board and the proposal of business to be considered by stockholders may be made only (1) pursuant to our notice of the meeting, (2) by or at the direction of the Board or (3) by a stockholder who is a stockholder of record both at the time of giving notice, as provided by the Bylaws, and at the time of the annual meeting and who is entitled to vote at the meeting and who has complied with the advance notice procedures of our Bylaws. With respect to special meetings of stockholders, only the business specified in our notice of the meeting may be brought before the meeting. Nominations of persons for election to the Board at a

special meeting may be made only pursuant to our notice of the meeting and (1) by or at the direction of the Board or (2) provided that the Board has determined that directors will be elected at the meeting, by a stockholder who is a stockholder of record both at the time of giving notice, as provided by the Bylaws, and at the time of the special meeting and who is entitled to vote at the meeting and who has complied with the advance notice provisions of the Bylaws.

Compensation Committee

The Compensation Committee is currently composed of Messrs. Andrews and Nestor and Ms. Bradford, each of whom is independent for purposes of the 1940 Act and is independent for listing exchange corporate governance regulations. Mr. Andrews serves as chairman of the Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee is responsible for determining, or recommending to the Board for determining, any compensation paid directly, if any, by us to our executive officers. The Compensation Committee is also charged with assisting the Board with all matters related to compensation, as directed by the Board. None of our executive officers are directly compensated by us and, as a result, the Compensation Committee does not produce and/or review and report on executive compensation practices.

The Compensation Committee did not meet during the year ended December 31, 2017.

The Compensation Committee's charter is available on our website at: www.tcgbdc.com.

Director Nominations

Nomination for election as a Director may be made by the Nominating and Governance Committee or by stockholders in compliance with the procedures set forth in our Bylaws.

The Nominating and Governance Committee seeks candidates who possess the background, skills and expertise to make a significant contribution to our Board, our Company and our stockholders. In considering possible candidates for election as a director, the Nominating and Governance Committee takes into account, in addition to such other factors as it deems relevant, the desirability of selecting directors who:

- are of high character and integrity;
- are accomplished in their respective fields, with superior credentials and recognition;
- have relevant expertise and experience upon which to be able to offer advice and guidance to management;
- have sufficient time available to devote to our affairs;
- are able to work with the other members of our Board and contribute to our success;
- · can represent the long-term interests of our stockholders as a whole; and
- are selected such that our Board represents a range of backgrounds and experience.

The Nominating and Governance Committee has not adopted a formal policy with regard to the consideration of diversity in identifying Director nominees. In determining whether to recommend a Director nominee, the Nominating and Governance Committee considers and discusses diversity, among other factors, with a view toward the needs of our Board as a whole. The Nominating and Governance Committee generally conceptualizes diversity expansively to include, without limitation, concepts such as race, gender, national origin, differences of viewpoint, professional experience, education, skill and other qualities that contribute to our Board when identifying and recommending Director nominees. The Nominating and Governance Committee believes that the inclusion of diversity as one of many factors considered in selecting Director nominees is consistent with the goal of creating a Board that best serves our needs and the interests of our stockholders.

The Nominating and Governance Committee selects and evaluates any candidates for Independent Directors or Interested Directors in accordance with the criteria set forth above. The Nominating and Governance Committee is then responsible for recommending to the Board a slate of nominees for Independent Director and Interested Director positions, as applicable, for the Board's approval. Generally, candidates for a position as a member of the Board are suggested by existing Board members to the Nominating and Governance Committee; however, as noted

above, the Nominating and Governance Committee will consider nominees properly recommended by stockholders, and will evaluate any such recommendations using the criteria set forth above.

Rule 17j-1 Code of Ethics

We have adopted a code of ethics (the "Code of Ethics") pursuant to Rule 17j-1 under the 1940 Act that establishes procedures for personal investments and restricts certain transactions by our personnel. We have also adopted the Adviser's Policies and Procedures Regarding Material, Non-Public Information and the Prevention of Insider Trading (the "Code of Conduct and Insider Trading Policies"), intended to comply with Rule 17j-1 under the 1940 Act and Rule 204A-1 under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, as amended. The Code of Ethics and the Code of Conduct and Insider Trading Policies generally do not permit investments by our and the Adviser's personnel in securities that may be purchased or sold by us.

Involvement in Certain Legal Proceedings

The Company may become party to certain lawsuits in the ordinary course of business, including proceedings relating to the enforcement of our rights under contracts with our portfolio companies. The Company is not currently subject to any material legal proceedings, nor, to our knowledge, is any material legal proceeding threatened against the Company.

Election of Officers

Our Board elects our officers and each of our officers serves until his or her earlier death, resignation or termination or until his or her successor is duly elected and qualified.

Compensation and Insider Participation

Compensation of Independent Directors

Each Independent Director received the following amounts for serving as a Director of the Company: (i) a \$90,000 annual fee; (ii) for a meeting of our Board, \$2,500 for each such board meeting attended in person, plus reimbursement of reasonable out-of-pocket expenses incurred in connection with attending such board meeting, and \$950 for each such board meeting attended telephonically; (iii) for a meeting of a committee of the Board, \$1,250 for each such committee meeting attended in person, plus reimbursement of reasonable out-of-pocket expenses incurred in connection with attending such committee meeting, and \$650 for each such committee meeting attended telephonically; and (iv) an annual fee of \$16,000 for the Chairman of our Audit Committee

The Independent Directors review their own compensation and recommend to the Board the appropriate level of compensation. This level of compensation may be adjusted from time to time. In conducting their review, the Independent Directors use such information as they deem relevant, including compensation paid to directors of other BDCs of similar size and the time and effort required of the directors in fulfilling their responsibilities to the Company. The Board determines the compensation of the Independent Directors.

The following table sets forth information concerning total compensation earned by or paid to each of our Independent Directors during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017:

	Fees Earned	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash		Total Compensation from the Company		Total Compensation from the Fund Complex ⁽¹⁾	
Nigel D.T. Andrews, Director	\$	141,531	\$	141,531	\$	170,042	
Leslie E. Bradford, Director (2)	\$	24,398	\$	24,398	\$	37,802	
John G. Nestor, Director	\$	136,399	\$	136,399	\$	163,060	
William P. Hendry, Director (deceased) (2)	\$	121,942	\$	121,942	\$	132,956	

(1) Messrs. Andrews, Hendry and Nestor served on the board of directors of NFIC until the NFIC Acquisition in June 2017. Messrs. Andrews and Nestor and Ms. Bradford serve on the board of directors of TCG BDC II. The Company, TCG BDC II and, until the NFIC Acquisition, NFIC were part of the Fund Complex during the year ended December 31, 2017. Compensation amounts shown include compensation such Directors received from the Company, TCG BDC II and, until the NFIC Acquisition, NFIC for services rendered during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017. TCG BDC III has not elected to be regulated as a BDC or commenced operations and thus TCG BDC III was not part of the Fund Complex during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017.

(2) Ms. Bradford was appointed to the Board in October 2017 to fill the vacancy resulting from the death of Mr. Hendry in September 2017.

Compensation of Executive Officers

We do not currently have any employees and do not expect to have any employees. Services necessary for our business are provided by individuals who are employees of the Adviser or its affiliates or by subcontractors, pursuant to the terms of the investment advisory agreement entered into by and between the Company and the Adviser, and the administration agreement entered into by and between the Company and the Administrator (the "Administration Agreement"). Each of our executive officers is an employee of the Adviser or its affiliates. Our day-to-day investment operations are managed by the Adviser. Most of the services necessary for the origination and administration of our investment portfolio are provided by investment professionals employed by the Adviser or its affiliates or by subcontractors.

None of our officers receives direct compensation from us. We have agreed to reimburse the Administrator for our allocable portion of the compensation paid to or compensatory distributions received by our Chief Financial Officer and Chief Compliance Officer. In addition, to the extent that the Administrator outsources any of its functions, we will pay the fees associated with such functions at cost. We have agreed to reimburse the Administrator, Carlyle Employee Co., with whom we entered into a personnel agreement, for our allocable portion of the compensation of any personnel, other than legal department personnel, that they provide for our use.

No compensation is expected to be paid to Directors who are Interested Directors.

Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions

Investment Advisory Agreement

On April 3, 2013, the Board, including a majority of the Independent Directors, approved an investment advisory agreement (the "Original Investment Advisory Agreement") between the Company and the Adviser in accordance with, and on the basis of an evaluation satisfactory to such Directors as required by, Section 15(c) of the 1940 Act. The Original Investment Advisory Agreement was amended on September 15, 2017 (as amended, the "Investment Advisory Agreement") after the approval of the Board, including a majority of the Independent Directors, at an in-person meeting of the Board held on May 30, 2017 and the approval of the Company's stockholders at a special meeting of stockholders held on September 15, 2017. The Investment Advisory Agreement was amended, among other things, to (i) reduce the incentive fee payable by the Company to the Adviser from an annual rate of 20% to an annual rate of 17.5%, (ii) delete the incentive fee payment deferral test described below, and (iii) include in the pre-incentive fee net investment income, in the case of investments with a deferred interest feature, accrued income that the Company has not yet received in cash. The initial term of the Investment Advisory Agreement is two years from September 15, 2017 and, unless terminated earlier, the Investment Advisory Agreement will renew automatically for successive annual periods, provided that such continuance is specifically approved at least annually by the vote of the Board and by the vote of a majority of the Independent Directors. The Investment Advisory Agreement will automatically terminate in the event of an assignment and may be terminated by either party without penalty upon at least 60 days' written notice to the other party. Subject to the overall supervision of the Board, the Adviser provides investment advisory services to the Company. For providing these services, the Adviser receives fees from the Company consisting of two components—a base management fee and an incentive fee.

Effective September 15, 2017, the base management fee is calculated and payable quarterly in arrears at an annual rate of 1.50% of the average value of the gross assets at the end of the two most recently completed fiscal quarters, except for the first quarter following the IPO, in which case the base management fee is calculated based on the Company's gross assets as of the end of such fiscal quarter. In each case, the base management fee will be appropriately adjusted for any share issuances or repurchases during such fiscal quarter and the base management fees for any partial month or quarter will be pro-rated. The Company's gross assets exclude any cash and cash equivalents and include assets acquired through the incurrence of debt from use of the TCG BDC SPV LLC credit facility, the Company's senior secured revolving credit facility and the notes offered in the Debt Securitization. For purposes of this calculation, cash and cash equivalents include any temporary investments in cash-equivalents, U.S. government securities and other high quality investment grade debt investments that mature in 12 months or less from the date of investment.

Prior to September 15, 2017, under the Original Investment Advisory Agreement, the base management fee was calculated and payable quarterly in arrears at an annual rate of 1.50% of the average daily gross assets of the Company for the period adjusted for share issuances or repurchases. Prior to the Company's initial public offering ("IPO"), the Adviser waived its right to receive one-third (0.50%) of the 1.50% base management fee. Any waived base management fees are not subject to recoupment by the Adviser.

The fee waiver terminated when the IPO had been consummated. As previously disclosed, in connection with the IPO, the Adviser has agreed to continue the fee waiver until the completion of the first full quarter after the consummation of the IPO. As a result, beginning October 1, 2017, the base management fee is calculated at an annual rate of 1.50% of the Company's gross assets, excluding cash and cash equivalents.

The incentive fee has two parts. The first part is calculated and payable quarterly in arrears based on the pre-incentive fee net investment income for the immediately preceding calendar quarter. The second part is determined and payable in arrears based on capital gains as of the end of each calendar year.

Pre-incentive fee net investment income means interest income, dividend income and any other income (including any other fees (other than fees for providing managerial assistance), such as commitment, origination, structuring, diligence and consulting fees or other fees that the Company receives from portfolio companies) accrued during the calendar quarter, minus the operating expenses accrued for the quarter (including the base management fee, expenses payable under the Administration Agreement, and any interest expense or fees on any credit facilities or outstanding debt and dividends paid on any issued and outstanding preferred stock, but excluding the incentive fee). Pre-incentive fee net investment income includes, in the case of investments with a deferred interest feature, accrued income that the Company has not yet received in cash. Pre-incentive fee net investment income does not include any realized capital gains, realized capital losses or unrealized capital appreciation or depreciation.

Effective September 15, 2017, pre-incentive fee net investment income, expressed as a rate of return on the value of our net assets at the end of the immediately preceding calendar quarter, will be compared to a "hurdle rate" of 1.50% per quarter (6% annualized) or a "catch-up rate" of 1.82% per quarter (7.28% annualized), as applicable.

Pursuant to the Investment Advisory Agreement, the Company pays the Adviser an incentive fee with respect to its pre-incentive fee net investment income in each calendar quarter as follows:

- no incentive fee based on pre-incentive fee net investment income in any calendar quarter in which its pre-incentive fee net investment income does not exceed the hurdle rate of 1.50%;
- 100% of pre-incentive fee net investment income with respect to that portion of such pre-incentive fee net investment income, if any, that exceeds the hurdle rate but is less than 1.82% in any calendar quarter (7.28% annualized). The Company refers to this portion of the pre-incentive fee net investment income (which exceeds the hurdle rate but is less than 1.82%) as the "catch-up." The "catch-up" is meant to provide the Adviser with approximately 17.5% of the Company's pre-incentive fee net investment income as if a hurdle rate did not apply if this net investment income exceeds 1.82% in any calendar quarter; and
- 17.5% of the amount of pre-incentive fee net investment income, if any, that exceeds 1.82% in any calendar quarter (7.28% annualized) will be payable to the Adviser. This reflects that once the hurdle

rate is reached and the catch-up is achieved, 17.5% of all pre-incentive fee investment income thereafter is allocated to the Adviser.

The second part of the incentive fee is determined and payable in arrears as of the end of each calendar year (or upon termination of the Investment Advisory Agreement, as of the termination date), and equals 17.5% of realized capital gains, if any, on a cumulative basis from inception through the date of determination, computed net of all realized capital losses on a cumulative basis and unrealized capital depreciation, less the aggregate amount of any previously paid capital gain incentive fees, provided that, the incentive fee determined at the end of the first calendar year of operations may be calculated for a period of shorter than twelve calendar months to take into account any realized capital gains computed net of all realized capital losses on a cumulative basis and unrealized capital depreciation.

Prior to September 15, 2017, under the Original Investment Advisory Agreement, pre-incentive fee net investment income, which did not include, in the case of investments with a deferred interest feature, accrued income that the Company has not yet received in cash, and was expressed as a rate of return on the average daily Hurdle Calculation Value (as defined below) throughout the immediately preceding calendar quarter, was compared to a "hurdle rate" of 1.50% per quarter (6% annualized) or a "catch-up" of 1.875% per quarter (7.50% annualized), as applicable. "Hurdle Calculation Value" meant, on any given day, the sum of (x) the value of net assets as of the end of the calendar quarter immediately preceding such day plus (y) the aggregate amount of capital drawn from investors (or reinvested in the Company pursuant to a dividend reinvestment plan) from the beginning of the current quarter to such day minus (z) the aggregate amount of distributions (including share repurchases) made by the Company from the beginning of the current quarter to such day, but only to the extent such distributions were not declared and accounted for on the books and records in a previous quarter. In addition, under the Original Investment Advisory Agreement, the Company deferred payment of any incentive fee otherwise earned by the Adviser if, during the most recent four full calendar quarter periods ending on or prior to the date such payment is to be made, the sum of (a) the aggregate distributions to stockholders and (b) the change in net assets (defined as gross assets less indebtedness) at the beginning of such period. These calculations were adjusted for any share issuances or repurchases. Any deferred incentive fees were carried over for payment in subsequent calculation periods.

As previously disclosed, in connection with the IPO, the Adviser agreed to charge 17.5% instead of 20% with respect to, or effectively waive 2.5% from, the entire calculation of the incentive fee beginning on the first full quarter following the consummation of the IPO until the earlier of (i) October 1, 2017 and (ii) the date that the Company's stockholders vote on the approval of the amendment to the Original Investment Advisory Agreement. The Company's stockholders voted to approve the Investment Advisory Agreement on September 15, 2017.

For the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, base management fees were \$19,327,000 and \$12,359,000, respectively (net of waiver of \$5,927,000 and \$6,180,000, respectively), incentive fees related to pre-incentive fee net investment income were \$21,084,000 and \$14,905,000, respectively, and there were no incentive fees related to realized capital gains. For the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, there were no accrued capital gains incentive fees based upon the cumulative net realized and unrealized appreciation (depreciation). The accrual for any capital gains incentive fee under U.S. GAAP in a given period may result in an additional expense if such cumulative amount is greater than in the prior period or a reduction of previously recorded expense if such cumulative amount is less than in the prior period. If such cumulative amount is negative, then there is no accrual.

As of December 31, 2017 and 2016, \$13,098,000 and \$8,157,000, respectively, was included in base management and incentive fees payable in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Assets and Liabilities included in the Annual Report.

On April 3, 2013, the Adviser entered into a personnel agreement with Carlyle Employee Co., an affiliate of the Adviser, pursuant to which Carlyle Employee Co. provides the Adviser with access to investment professionals.

Administration Agreement

On February 26, 2018, at an in-person meeting of the Board, the Board, including a majority of the Independent Directors, approved the continuance of the Administration Agreement, dated April 3, 2013, between the Company and the Administrator, as well as the sub-administration agreements referred to below. Pursuant to the Administration Agreement, the Administrator provides services and receives reimbursements equal to an amount that reimburses the Administrator for its costs and expenses and the Company's allocable portion of overhead incurred by the Administrator in performing its obligations under the Administration Agreement, including the Company's allocable portion of the compensation paid to or compensatory distributions received by the Company's officers (including the Chief Compliance Officer and Chief Financial Officer) and respective staff who provide services to the Company, operations staff who provide services to the Company, and any internal audit staff, to the extent internal audit performs a role in the Company's Sarbanes-Oxley Act internal control assessment. Reimbursement under the Administration Agreement occurs quarterly in arrears.

For the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, the Company incurred \$661,000 and \$703,000, respectively, in fees under the Administration Agreement, which are included in administrative service fees in the Consolidated Statements of Operations included in the Annual Report. As of December 31, 2017 and 2016, \$95,000 and \$137,000, respectively, were unpaid and included in administrative service fees payable in the Consolidated Statements of Assets and Liabilities included in the Annual Report.

Sub-Administration Agreements

On April 3, 2013, the Administrator entered into sub-administration agreements with Carlyle Employee Co. and CELF Advisors LLP ("CELF"). Pursuant to the agreements, Carlyle Employee Co. and CELF provide or provided the Administrator with access to personnel. The sub-administration agreement between the Administrator and CELF was terminated, effective as of February 26, 2018.

On April 3, 2013, the Administrator entered into a sub-administration agreement with State Street Bank and Trust Company (as amended, the "State Street Sub-Administration Agreement"). On March 11, 2015, the Board, including a majority of the Independent Directors, approved an amendment to the State Street Sub-Administration Agreement. The initial term of the State Street Sub-Administration Agreement ended on April 1, 2015 and, unless terminated earlier, the State Street Sub-Administration Agreement will renew automatically for successive annual periods, provided that such continuance is specifically approved at least annually by (i) the vote of the Board or by the vote of a majority of the outstanding voting securities of the Company and (ii) the vote of a majority of the Independent Directors. The State Street Sub-Administration Agreement may be terminated upon at least 60 days' written notice and without penalty by the vote of a majority of the outstanding securities of the Company, or by the vote of the Board or by either party to the State Street Sub-Administration Agreement.

For the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, fees incurred in connection with the State Street Sub-Administration Agreement, which amounted to \$725,000 and \$602,000, respectively, were included in other general and administrative in the Consolidated Statements of Operations included in the Annual Report. As of December 31, 2017 and 2016, \$196,000 and \$159,000, respectively, were unpaid and included in other accrued expenses and liabilities in the Consolidated Statements of Assets and Liabilities included in the Annual Report.

License Agreement

We have entered into a royalty free license agreement with Carlyle Investment Management L.L.C. ("CIM"), which wholly owns our Adviser and is a wholly owned subsidiary of Carlyle, pursuant to which CIM has granted us a non-exclusive, revocable and non-transferable license to use the name and mark "Carlyle."

Placement Fees

On April 3, 2013, the Company entered into a placement fee arrangement with TCG Securities, L.L.C. ("TCG"), a licensed broker-dealer and an affiliate of the Adviser, which may require stockholders to pay a placement fee to TCG for TCG's services. At the time of the IPO, the placement fee arrangement with TCG was automatically terminated.

For the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, TCG earned placement fees of \$19,000 and \$12,000, respectively, from TCG BDC stockholders in connection with the issuance or sale of the Company's common stock.

Review, Approval or Ratification of Related Party Transactions

In the ordinary course of business, we may enter into transactions with affiliates and portfolio companies that may be considered related party transactions. In order to ensure that we do not engage in any prohibited transactions with any persons affiliated with us, we have implemented certain policies and procedures whereby certain of our executive officers screen each of our transactions for any possible affiliations between the proposed portfolio investment, us, companies controlled by us, stockholders that own more than 5% of us and our employees and Directors. We will not enter into any agreements unless and until we are satisfied that doing so will not raise concerns under the 1940 Act or, if such concerns exist, we have taken appropriate actions to seek review and approval by our Board or exemptive relief for such transactions. Our Board will review these procedures on an annual basis.

From time to time, the Adviser, the Administrator or their respective affiliates, may pay third-party providers to provide goods or services to us. We will subsequently reimburse the Adviser, the Administrator or such affiliates thereof for any such amounts paid on our behalf.

Address of Our Adviser and Administrator

The principal executive offices of our Adviser, Carlyle Global Credit Investment Management L.L.C., and our Administrator, Carlyle Global Credit Administration L.L.C., are at 520 Madison Avenue, 40th Floor, New York, NY 10022.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act requires our Directors and executive officers, as defined under the Exchange Act, and persons who own more than 10% of a registered class of our equity securities to file initial reports of ownership and reports of changes in ownership with the SEC. Such executive officers, Directors and stockholders are required by SEC regulations to furnish us with copies of all Section 16(a) forms they file. Based solely upon a review of such forms filed by such persons, the Company believes that all persons subject to the reporting requirements of Section 16(a) filed all required reports on a timely basis in 2017.

Required Vote

Each Director nominee shall be elected by a plurality of all the votes cast at the Meeting in person or by proxy, provided that a quorum is present. Abstentions will not be included in determining the number of votes cast and, as a result, will have no effect on this proposal. Shares represented by broker non-votes also are not considered votes cast and thus have no effect on the proposal.

THE BOARD UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS THAT YOU VOTE "FOR" THE ELECTION OF EACH OF ELIOT P.S. MERRILL AND NIGEL D.T. ANDREWS

PROPOSAL NO. 2 RATIFICATION OF SELECTION OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Our Audit Committee has selected EY to serve as our independent registered public accounting firm to audit our consolidated financial statements for the year ending December 31, 2018. While the Audit Committee is responsible for the appointment, compensation, retention, termination and oversight of the independent auditor, we are requesting, as a matter of good corporate governance, that the stockholders ratify the appointment of EY as our independent registered public accounting firm. If the stockholders fail to ratify the selection, the Audit Committee will reconsider whether to retain EY and may retain that firm or another without re-submitting the matter to our stockholders. Even if the appointment is ratified, the Audit Committee may, in its discretion, direct the appointment of a different independent registered public accounting firm at any time during the year.

EY's representatives are expected to be available telephonically for the Meeting and will have an opportunity to make a statement, if they so desire, as well as to respond to appropriate questions asked by our stockholders.

Principal Accountant Fees and Services

Set forth in the table below are audit fees and non-audit related fees billed to the Company and payable to EY for professional services performed for the Company's fiscal years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016.

Fiscal Year/Period	Audit Fees		Audit-Related Fees(1)		Tax Fees(2)		All Other Fees(3)	
2017	\$	829,000	\$	70,896	\$	20,000	\$	_
2016	\$	431,500	\$	59,188	\$	20,000	\$	_

- "Audit-Related Fees" are those fees billed to the Company relating to audit services provided by EY.
- (2) "Tax Fees" are those fees billed to the Company in connection with tax consulting services performed by EY, including primarily the review of the Company's income tax returns.
- (3) "All Other Fees" are those fees billed to the Company in connection with permitted non-audit services performed by EY.

The Audit Committee reviews, negotiates and approves in advance the scope of work, any related engagement letter and the fees to be charged by the independent registered public accounting firm for audit services and permitted non-audit services for the Company and for permitted non-audit services for the Company's investment advisers and any affiliates thereof that provide services to the Company if such non-audit services have a direct impact on the operations or financial reporting of the Company. All of the audit and non-audit services described above for which fees were incurred by the Company for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, were pre-approved by the Audit Committee, in accordance with its pre-approval policy.

Audit Committee Report

As part of its oversight of the Company's financial statements, on February 26, 2018, the Audit Committee reviewed and discussed with both management and the Company's independent registered public accounting firm the Company's financial statements to be filed with the SEC for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017. Management advised the Audit Committee that all financial statements were prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP, and reviewed significant accounting matters with the Audit Committee. The Audit Committee also discussed with the independent registered public accounting firm the matters required to be discussed by the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) (the "PCAOB").

The Audit Committee has pre-approved, in accordance with its pre-approval policy, the permitted audit, audit-related, tax, and other services to be provided by EY, the Company's independent registered public accounting firm, in order to assure that the provision of such services does not impair the firm's independence.

Any requests for audit, audit-related, tax and other services that have not received general pre-approval must be submitted to the Audit Committee for specific pre-approval in accordance with its pre-approval policy, irrespective of

the amount, and cannot commence until such approval has been granted. Normally, pre-approval is provided at regularly scheduled meetings of the Audit Committee. However, the Audit Committee has delegated pre-approval authority to the Chairman of the Audit Committee, Nigel D.T. Andrews, who reports any pre-approval decisions to the Audit Committee at its next scheduled meeting. The Audit Committee does not delegate its responsibilities to pre-approve services performed by EY to management.

The Audit Committee received and reviewed the written disclosures from EY required by the applicable PCAOB rule regarding the independent registered public accounting firm's communications with audit committees concerning independence, and has discussed with EY its independence. The Audit Committee has reviewed the audit fees paid by the Company to EY. It has also reviewed non-audit services and fees to assure compliance with the Company's and the Audit Committee's policies restricting EY from performing services that might impair its independence.

Based on the reviews and discussions referred to above, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board that the Company's financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2017 be included in the 2017 Form 10-K, for filing with the SEC. The Audit Committee also recommended the appointment of EY to serve as the independent registered public accounting firm of the Company for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2018.

Audit Committee Members:

Nigel D.T. Andrews, Chairman John G. Nestor Leslie E. Bradford

The material in this report is not "soliciting material," is not deemed "filed" with the SEC, and is not to be incorporated by reference into any filing of the Company under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Exchange Act, whether made before or after the date hereof and irrespective of any general incorporation language in any such filing.

Required Vote

The affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast at the Meeting, in person or by proxy, provided a quorum is present, is required to ratify the appointment of EY to serve as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2018. Abstentions will not be included in determining the number of votes cast and, as a result, will not have any effect on the result of the vote. Because brokers will have discretionary authority to vote for the ratification of the appointment of the Company's independent registered public accounting firm in the event that they do not receive voting instructions from the beneficial owner of the shares, there will not be any broker non-votes with respect to this proposal.

THE BOARD UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS THAT YOU VOTE "FOR" RATIFICATION OF EY AS THE INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM OF THE COMPANY FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2018.

PROPOSAL NO. 3

APPROVAL OF THE APPLICATION OF A MINIMUM ASSET COVERAGE RATIO OF 150% TO THE COMPANY, WHICH WOULD PERMIT THE COMPANY TO DOUBLE THE MAXIMUM AMOUNT OF LEVERAGE THAT IT IS PERMITTED TO INCUR

Background

On March 23, 2018, an amendment to Section 61(a) of the 1940 Act was signed into law to permit BDCs to reduce the minimum "asset coverage" ratio from 200% to 150%, so long as certain approval and disclosure requirements are satisfied. Under the existing 200% minimum asset coverage ratio, the Company is permitted to borrow up to one dollar for investment purposes for every one dollar of investor equity, and under the 150% minimum asset coverage ratio, the Company will be permitted to borrow up to two dollars for investment purposes for every one dollar of investor equity. In other words, Section 61(a) of the 1940 Act, as amended, permits BDCs to potentially increase their debt-to-equity ratio from a maximum of 1 to 1 to a maximum of 2 to 1.

Specifically, the Company is permitted to apply a lower minimum asset coverage ratio of 150% if: (1) the Company complies with certain additional asset coverage disclosure requirements, as discussed below; and (2)(A) a "required majority" of the Company's directors, as defined in Section 57(o) of the 1940 Act, approves the application of such a lower minimum asset coverage ratio to the Company, in which case the 150% minimum asset coverage ratio will become effective on the date that is one year after the date of such Independent Director approval; or (B) the Company obtains, at a special or annual meeting of its stockholders at which a quorum is present, the approval of more than 50% of the votes cast for the application of such a lower minimum asset coverage ratio to the Company, in which case the 150% minimum asset coverage ratio will become effective on the first day after the date of such stockholder approval. "Asset coverage" for purposes of this proposal has the meaning set forth in Section 18(h) of the 1940 Act and generally is a company's total assets, less all liabilities and indebtedness not represented by senior securities, divided by total senior securities representing indebtedness and, if applicable, preferred stock.

At a meeting of the Board held on April 9, 2018, the Board, including a "required majority" of the Company's directors, as defined in Section 57(a) of the 1940 Act, approved the 150% minimum asset coverage ratio as being in the best interests of the Company and its stockholders. As a result, and subject to certain additional disclosure requirements as described below, the 150% minimum asset coverage ratio will apply to the Company effective as of April 9, 2019. This means that, as of April 9, 2019, the Company will be permitted to incur double the maximum amount of leverage that the Company is able to incur currently.

In addition, in order to provide the Company with the maximum financial flexibility at the earliest possible date, the Board authorized the Company to seek stockholder approval of the Asset Coverage Ratio Proposal, which, if passed, would move up the effective date of the increase in the leverage limit by approximately ten months. If the Company's stockholders approve the Asset Coverage Ratio Proposal at the Meeting, the 150% minimum asset coverage ratio will then apply effective as of June 7, 2018, the first day after the Meeting and, as a result, the Company will be permitted to incur double the maximum amount of leverage that the Company is able to incur currently approximately ten months earlier than if the Company's stockholders do not vote to approve Proposal No. 3.

Recommendation of the Board; Reasons for the Asset Coverage Ratio Proposal

The Board unanimously recommends that the Company's stockholders approve the Asset Coverage Ratio Proposal. In consideration of the application of the 150% minimum asset coverage ratio to the Company, the Board considered the information it received relating to, among other things:

- the benefits of increased financial flexibility;
- the potential to increase and sustain returns on equity;
- the Company's investment strategy and portfolio construction;
- the current middle market direct lending landscape;

- the risks relative to benefits associated with the use of increased leverage;
- impact on the base management and incentive fees payable to the Company's investment adviser (the "Investment Adviser");
- · limitations of current credit facilities; and
- the Company's additional disclosure obligations.

Benefits of Increased Financial Flexibility

The Board considered that, as a BDC and a regulated investment company ("RIC") for tax purposes, the Company will benefit from increasing the maximum regulatory leverage, through significantly more flexibility for complying with the asset coverage requirements applicable to BDCs. Importantly, application of the 150% minimum asset coverage ratio would enable the Company to better withstand potential adverse market movements while still meeting its asset coverage requirements. For example, as of December 31, 2017, the Company's asset coverage ratio was 235%, which, under the current 200% minimum asset coverage ratio, provided a 15% cushion based on fair value of investments. Under a 150% minimum asset coverage ratio, all else being equal, that cushion would be 36% based on fair value of investments.

For example, even if the underlying performance of one or more portfolio companies may not indicate an impairment or inability to repay all principal and interest in full, volatility in the capital markets may negatively impact the valuations of the Company's investments and create unrealized capital depreciation on certain investments. Any such reductions in value (as well as unrealized capital depreciation based on the underlying performance of the Company's portfolio companies, if any) will negatively impact the Company's total assets and the ability to satisfy the minimum asset coverage ratio. Any failure to satisfy the asset coverage ratio could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition or results of operations, including the Company's ability to borrow, pay dividends (and, as a result, the Company's ability to maintain its RIC status), or repurchase shares of its common stock.

In addition to enhancing the ability of the Company to bear adverse market movements and still meet the asset coverage requirements, the Board concluded that having the option to access additional financing would give the Company more flexibility to fully execute its business strategy.

The following table sets forth the following additional information:

- the Company's total assets, total debt outstanding (in dollars and as a percentage of total assets), net assets and asset coverage ratio as of December 31, 2017;
- assuming that as of December 31, 2017 the Company had incurred the maximum amount of borrowings that could be incurred by the Company under the currently applicable 200% asset coverage ratio, the Company's *pro forma* total assets, total debt outstanding (with the maximum amount of additional borrowings that would be permitted to incur in dollars and as a percentage of total assets), net assets and asset coverage ratio; and
- assuming that as of December 31, 2017 the Company had incurred the maximum amount of borrowings that could be incurred by the
 Company under the proposed 150% asset coverage ratio, the Company's pro forma total assets, total debt outstanding (with the maximum
 amount of additional borrowings that would be permitted to incur in dollars and as a percentage of total assets), net assets and asset coverage
 ratio.

In evaluating the information presented below, it is important to recognize that the maximum amount of borrowings that could be incurred by the Company is presented for comparative and informational purposes only and such information is not a representation of the amount of borrowings that the Company intends to incur or that would be available to the Company to be incurred.

Pro Forma Amounts as of December 31, 2017

Assuming That the Company Had Incurred the Maximum Amount of Borrowings That Could Be Incurred by the Compan

Selected Consolidated Financial Statement			Ai	mount of Borrowings I hat Co	uia Be	incurred by the Company
Data (Unaudited)		Actual Amounts As of		Under the Currently Applicable 200% Minimum	Under the Proposed 150% Minimum Asset Coverage	
(dollar amounts in thousands)		December 31, 2017 ⁽¹⁾		Asset Coverage Ratio ⁽²⁾		Ratio ⁽³⁾
Total Assets	\$	2,021,383	\$	2,312,794	\$	3,440,098
Total Debt Outstanding	\$	835,893	\$	1,127,304	\$	2,254,608
Net Assets	\$	1,127,304	\$	1,127,304	\$	1,127,304
Asset Coverage Ratio		234.9%		200.0%		150.0%

- (1) As of December 31, 2017, the Company's total outstanding indebtedness represented 41.4% of the Company's total assets.
- Based on the Company's total outstanding indebtedness of \$835.9 million as of December 31, 2017 and applying the currently applicable 200% minimum asset coverage ratio, the Company could have incurred up to an additional \$291.4 million of borrowings, bringing the Company's total indebtedness and total assets to \$1.1 billion and \$2.3 billion, respectively. The maximum amount of additional borrowings of \$291.4 million would have represented 12.6% of the total assets of \$2.3 billion, which are the total assets that the Company would have had with such additional borrowings.
- Assuming that the Company had incurred the maximum amount of borrowings that could be incurred by the Company under the currently applicable 200% minimum asset coverage ratio of \$1.1 billion and applying the proposed 150% minimum asset coverage ratio, the Company could have incurred up to an additional \$1.1 billion of borrowings, bringing the Company's total indebtedness and total assets to \$2.3 billion and \$3.4 billion, respectively. The maximum amount of additional borrowings of \$1.1 billion would have represented 32.8% of the total assets of \$3.4 billion, which are the total assets that the Company would have had with such additional borrowings.

Potential to Increase and Sustain Returns on Equity

The Board and the Investment Adviser discussed how access to greater leverage has the potential to increase and sustain the Company's investment yield and returns to common stockholders. Funds that use leverage generally aim to earn an investment return on money raised through leverage that exceeds the costs of leveraging, and thereby to increase returns to common stockholders. The Board discussed that any investment returns in excess of the costs of leverage would benefit the holders of the common stock; however, to the extent the costs of leverage exceed such investment returns, those costs would be borne by and reduce the returns to the holders of the common stock.

The Investment Adviser informed the Board that the Company would only expect to incur additional indebtedness if the Investment Adviser believes that, over time, the costs of carrying the assets to be acquired through leverage are likely to be lower than the Company's expected incremental investment yield and returns on equity.

While no assurances can be given that the investment yield and returns on equity attributable to borrowing would exceed the costs of such leverage, the Board concluded that the benefits of increased leverage outweigh the risks, as noted in more detail below.

The following tables illustrate the effect of leverage on returns from an investment in the Company's common stock, based on (1) the actual amount of borrowings incurred by the Company as of December 31, 2017, (2) the maximum amount of borrowings that could be incurred by the Company as of December 31, 2017 under the currently applicable 200% minimum asset coverage ratio (that is, a 1:1 debt-to-equity ratio), and (3) the maximum amount of borrowings that could be incurred by the Company as of December 31, 2017 if the proposed 150% minimum asset coverage ratio were applied (that is, a 2:1 debt-to-equity ratio), in each case assuming annual returns on the Company's portfolio (net of expenses) of -10%, -5%, 0%, 5% and 10%. The calculations in the tables below are hypothetical, and actual returns may be higher or lower than those appearing in the tables below.

Effects of Leverage Based on the Actual Amount of Borrowings Incurred by the Company as of December 31, 2017

Assumed annual returns on the Company's portfolio (net of expenses)	(10)%	(5)%	0 %	5%	10%
Corresponding return to common stockholder (1)	(20.54)%	(11.58)%	(2.61)%	6.35%	15.32%

⁽¹⁾ As of December 31, 2017, the Company had (i) \$2.0 billion in total assets (ii) \$835.9 million in outstanding indebtedness, (iii) \$1.1 billion in net assets and (iv) a weighted average interest rate, excluding fees (such as fees on undrawn amounts and amortization of financing costs), of 3.52%.

Based on outstanding indebtedness of \$835.9 million as of December 31, 2017, and the weighted average effective annual interest rate, excluding fees (such as fees on undrawn amounts and amortization of financing costs), of 3.52% as of that date, the Company's investment portfolio at fair value would have had to produce an annual return of approximately 1.5% to cover annual interest payments on the outstanding debt.

Effects of Leverage Based on the *Pro Forma* Maximum Amount of Borrowings That Could Be Incurred by the Company

Under the Currently Applicable 200% Minimum Asset Coverage Ratio (i.e., A 1:1 Debt-to-Equity Ratio)

		• •	1 0	,	
Assumed annual returns on the Company's portfolio (net of expenses)	(10)%	(5)%	0 %	5%	10%
Corresponding return to common stockholder (1)	(24.04)%	(13.78)%	(3.52)%	6.74%	17.00%

Assuming that (a) the Company had incurred the maximum amount of borrowings that could be incurred by the Company as of December 31, 2017 under a 200% minimum asset coverage ratio, and (b) the additional borrowings compared to the actual amount of borrowings incurred by the Company as of December 31, 2017, which were \$291.4 million, are fully invested, the Company would have (i) \$2.3 billion in total assets, (ii) \$1.1 billion in outstanding indebtedness and (iii) \$1.1 billion in net assets. The weighted average interest rate, excluding fees (such as fees on undrawn amounts and amortization of financing costs), is assumed to be 3.52%, which is the Company's weighted average interest rate as of December 31, 2017.

Based on the assumed outstanding indebtedness of \$1.1 billion as of December 31, 2017, and the assumed weighted average effective annual interest rate, excluding fees (such as fees on undrawn amounts and amortization of financing costs), of 3.52% as of that date, the Company's investment portfolio at fair value would have had to produce an annual return of approximately 1.8% to cover annual interest payments on the outstanding debt.

Effects of Leverage Based on the *Pro Forma* Maximum Amount of Borrowings That Could Be Incurred by the Company

Under the Proposed 150% Minimum Asset Coverage Ratio (i.e., A 2:1 Debt-to-Equity Ratio)

		• •			
Assumed annual returns on the Company's portfolio (net of expenses)	(10)%	(5)%	0 %	5%	10%
Corresponding return to common stockholder ⁽¹⁾	(37.56)%	(22.30)%	(7.04)%	8.22%	23.48%

Assuming that (a) the Company had incurred the maximum amount of borrowings that could be incurred by the Company as of December 31, 2017 if a 150% minimum asset coverage ratio were applied, and (b) the additional borrowings compared to the maximum amount of borrowings that could be incurred by the Company as of December 31, 2017 under a 200% minimum asset coverage ratio, which was \$1.1 billion, are fully invested, the Company would have (i) \$3.4 billion in total assets, (ii) \$2.3 billion in outstanding indebtedness and (iii) \$1.1 billion in net assets. The weighted average interest rate, excluding fees (such as fees on undrawn amounts and amortization of financing costs), is assumed to be 3.52%, which is the Company's weighted average interest rate as of December 31, 2017.

Based on the assumed outstanding indebtedness of \$2.3 billion as of December 31, 2017, and the assumed weighted average effective annual interest rate, excluding fees (such as fees on undrawn amounts and amortization

of financing costs), of 3.52% as of that date, the Company's investment portfolio at fair value would have had to produce an annual return of approximately 2.3% to cover annual interest payments on the outstanding debt.

The Company's Investment Strategy and Portfolio Construction

The Board considered the Company's investment strategy and portfolio construction and, based on that review, believes that the Company would be well-positioned to prudently and effectively employ an increased level of leverage if it chose to do so. The Board noted that the Company has historically held a defensive, diversified portfolio of first lien senior secured loans. The Board also noted that the Company focuses on stable, healthy businesses with strong cash-flow generation in non-cyclical sectors. As of December 31, 2017, the Company had a portfolio of 107 investments in 90 portfolio companies across 28 industries and 57 unique sponsors. It further noted that, as of December 31, 2017, approximately 99.3% of the Company's debt investments bore interest at floating rates, subject to interest rate floors, and 77.8% of the Company portfolio was invested in first lien debt investments.

The Company believes that any use of increased leverage will generally depend on market conditions at the applicable time. Although the Company's plan with respect to increased leverage has yet to be determined, the Company anticipates that any increase in leverage is likely to be in the form of indebtedness.

The Current Middle Market Direct Lending Landscape

The Board considered the middle market direct lending landscape in which the Company operates. The Company's primary competitors in providing financing to middle market companies include public and private funds, other BDCs, commercial and investment banks, collateralized loan obligations, commercial finance companies and, to the extent they provide an alternative form of financing, private equity and hedge funds. Many of the Company's potential competitors are substantially larger and have considerably greater financial, technical and marketing resources than the Company. For example, some competitors may have a lower cost of funds and access to funding sources that will not be available to the Company. In addition, some competitors may have higher risk tolerances or different risk assessments than the Company, which could allow them to consider a wider variety of investments than the Company. Furthermore, many of the Company's competitors are not subject to the regulatory restrictions to which the Company is subject under the 1940 Act. Enabling the Company to incur additional indebtedness is expected to increase the competitiveness of the Company. Moreover, if other BDCs take advantage of the ability to incur additional indebtedness and the Company does not have the flexibility to do so, its competitiveness relative to such BDCs may be reduced.

Risks Relative to Potential Benefits Associated With the Use of Increased Leverage

The Board considered how increased leverage could increase the risks associated with investing in the Company's common stock. For example, if the value of the Company's assets decreases, leverage will cause the Company's net asset value to decline more sharply than it otherwise would have without leverage or with lower leverage. Similarly, any decrease in the Company's revenue would cause its net income to decline more sharply than it would have if the Company had not borrowed or had borrowed less. However, since the Company already uses leverage in optimizing its investment portfolio, there are no material new risks associated with increased leverage. As a result, the Board concluded that the potential benefits of increased leverage outweigh these risks.

Impact on the Base Management and Incentive Fees

The Board considered the impact of the use of higher leverage on the Company's base management fee and incentive fee payable to the Investment Adviser, noting that additional leverage would increase the base management fee and could increase the incentive fees. For example, base management fees are payable based on its gross assets, including assets acquired through the use of leverage (but excluding cash and any temporary investments in cash-equivalents), which may give the Investment Adviser an incentive to use a higher level of leverage to make additional investments. Similarly, the incentive fees payable by the Company to the Investment Adviser may create an incentive for the Investment Adviser to pursue investments that are riskier or more speculative than would be the case in the absence of such compensation arrangement. The incentive fees payable to

the Investment Adviser are calculated based on a percentage of the Company's return on invested capital. This may encourage the Investment Adviser to use leverage to increase the return on the Company's investments. In particular, a portion of the incentive fees payable to the Investment Adviser is calculated based on the Company's pre-incentive fee net investment income, expressed as a rate of return on the value of the Company's net assets at the end of the immediately preceding calendar quarter, subject to a "hurdle rate" of 1.50% per quarter (6% annualized) and a "catch-up rate" of 1.82% per quarter (7.28% annualized). See "Corporate Governance—Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions—Investment Advisory Agreement." Accordingly, an increase in leverage may make it easier for the Company to meet or exceed the hurdle rate applicable to the income-based incentive fee and may result in an increase in the amount of income-based incentive fee payable to the Investment Adviser.

However, since the Company already uses leverage in optimizing its investment portfolio, there are no material new risks associated with increased leverage on the base management and incentive fees payable to the Investment Advisor or the Investment Advisor's incentives. The Investment Advisor also informed the Board that it intends to manage the Company in the same disciplined manner as it has prior to the effectiveness of the lower minimum asset coverage ratio. As a result, the Board concluded that the potential benefits of increased leverage outweigh these risks.

Fees and Expenses Table

The following table illustrates the impact of leverage on the Company's annual expenses, including base management and incentive fees payable to the Investment Adviser, using annualized financial data based on the actual and *pro forma* expenses incurred by the Company for the quarter ended December 31, 2017. The following table is intended to assist you in understanding the costs and expenses that an investor in the Company's common stock will bear, directly or indirectly, based on the assumptions set forth below. We caution you that some of the percentages indicated in the table below are estimates and may vary. The following table should not be considered a representation of the Company's future expenses. Actual expenses may be greater or less than shown. Except where the context suggests otherwise, whenever this Proxy Statement contains a reference to fees or expenses paid by "us," the "Company" or says that "we" will pay fees or expenses, stockholders will indirectly bear these fees or expenses as our investors. The calculations of *pro forma* estimated annual expenses in the table below are hypothetical, and the base management and incentive fees payable to the Investment Adviser will be based on the Company's actual performance and will not be paid unless the Company achieves certain goals.

Annualized Expenses
Based on *Pro Forma* Expenses for the Quarter Ended
December 31, 2017
Assuming That the Company Had Incurred the

Maximum Amount of Borrowings That Could Be Incurred by the Company

		incurred by	tne Company
Estimated Annual Expenses (As A Percentage of Net Assets Attributable to Common Stock):	Annualized Expenses Based on Actual Expenses for the Quarter Ended December 31, 2017 ⁽¹⁾	Under the Currently Applicable 200% Minimum Asset Coverage Ratio ⁽²⁾	Under the Proposed 150% Minimum Asset Coverage Ratio ⁽³⁾
Base management fee payable under the Investment Advisory Agreement $^{(4)}$	2.63% ⁽⁵⁾	2.98% ⁽⁶⁾	4.47% ⁽⁷⁾
Incentive fee payable under the Investment Advisory Agreement (17.5% of pre-incentive fee net investment income and capital gains) ⁽⁸⁾	1.98% ⁽⁹⁾	2.18% ⁽¹⁰⁾	2.92%(11)
Interest payments on borrowed funds ⁽¹²⁾	2.90%	3.91%	7.83%
Other expenses ⁽¹³⁾	0.58%	0.66%	0.99%
Acquired fund fees and expenses ⁽¹⁴⁾	1.84%	1.84%	1.84%
Total annual expenses	9.93%	11.57%	18.05%

- (1) Calculated by dividing the actual expenses for the quarter ended December 31, 2017 by the net assets attributable to common stock as of December 31, 2017, which were \$1.1 billion, and annualizing over four quarterly periods.
- Calculated by dividing the *pro forma* expenses for the quarter ended December 31, 2017, assuming that the Company had \$1.1 billion in outstanding indebtedness, which is the maximum amount of borrowings that could be incurred by the Company under the currently applicable 200% minimum asset coverage ratio, by the net assets attributable to common stock as of December 31, 2017, which were \$1.1 billion, and annualizing over four quarterly periods. The maximum amount of borrowings that could be incurred by the Company is presented for comparative and informational purposes only and such information is not a representation of the amount of borrowings that the Company intends to incur or that would be available to the Company to be incurred.
- (3) Calculated by dividing the *pro forma* expenses for the quarter ended December 31, 2017, assuming that the Company had \$2.3 billion in outstanding indebtedness, which is the maximum amount of borrowings that could be incurred by the Company under the proposed 150% minimum asset coverage ratio, by the net assets attributable to common stock as of December 31, 2017, which were \$1.1 billion, and annualizing over four quarterly periods. The maximum amount of borrowings that could be incurred by the Company is presented for comparative and informational purposes only and such information is not a representation of the amount of borrowings that the Company intends to incur or that would be available to the Company to be incurred.
- (4) The base management fee under the Investment Advisory Agreement is calculated and payable quarterly in arrears at an annual rate of 1.50% of the Company's average gross assets, including assets acquired through the incurrence of debt, excluding cash and cash equivalents and adjusted for share issuances or repurchases. See "Corporate Governance—Certain Relationships and Related Party Transaction—Investment Advisory Agreement." For purposes of the table above, the percentage reflected is calculated based on the Company's average net assets (rather than the Company's average gross assets) for the same period. Consequently, if the Company has borrowings outstanding, its base management fee as a percentage of net assets attributable to common stock would be higher than if the Company did not utilize leverage.
- (5) Calculated by dividing the Company's actual base management fees for the quarter ended December 31, 2017, which were \$7.5 million, by the net assets attributable to common stock as of December 31, 2017, which were \$1.1 billion, and annualizing over four quarterly periods.
- (6) Assuming outstanding indebtedness of \$1.1 billion as of December 31, 2017, calculated by dividing the Company's *pro forma* base management fees for the quarter ended December 31, 2017, which were \$8.5 million, by the net assets attributable to common stock as of December 31, 2017, which were \$1.1 billion, and annualizing over four quarterly periods.
- (7) Assuming outstanding indebtedness of \$2.3 billion as of December 31, 2017, calculated by dividing the Company's *pro forma* base management fees for the quarter ended December 31, 2017, which were \$12.7 million, by the net assets attributable to common stock as of December 31, 2017, which were \$1.1 billion, and annualizing over four quarterly periods.
- The incentive fee has two parts. The first part is calculated and payable quarterly in arrears based on the Company's pre-incentive fee net investment income for the immediately preceding calendar quarter. The second part is determined and payable in arrears as of the end of each calendar year (or upon termination of the Investment Advisory Agreement, as of the termination date) in an amount equal to an annual rate of 17.5% of our realized capital gains, if any, on a cumulative basis from inception through the end of each calendar year, computed net of all realized capital losses and unrealized capital depreciation on a cumulative basis, less the aggregate amount of any previously paid capital gain incentive fees. See "Corporate Governance—Certain Relationships and Related Party Transaction—Investment Advisory Agreement."

The annual incentive fees as a percentage of net assets attributable to common stock is calculated by dividing the actual or *pro forma* incentive fees for the quarter ended December 31, 2017, as applicable, by the net assets attributable to common stock as of December 31, 2017 and annualizing over four quarterly periods. In calculating the *pro forma* incentive fees for the quarter ended December 31, 2017 as a result of the Company's incurring additional borrowings, the Company has assumed that the same weighted-average yield that was earned on the investments held during the quarter ended December 31, 2017 is generated on incremental investments made using proceeds from such additional borrowings. For example, the *pro forma* total investment income for the quarter ended December 31, 2017 is calculated by applying the ratio of "total investment income" for the quarter ended December 31, 2017 to "total investments, at fair value" as of December 31, 2017 to the *pro forma* assets as of December 31, 2017. This same ratio is used to calculate other *pro forma* expenses for the quarter ended December 31, 2017, such as professional fees, administrative service fees, directors' fees and other general and administrative expenses. To calculate the *pro forma* interest expenses and credit facility fees for the quarter ended December 31, 2017, to the total debt outstanding as of December 31, 2017, respectively, are applied to the *pro forma* total debt outstanding as of December 31, 2017.

- (9) Calculated by dividing the Company's actual incentive fees for the quarter ended December 31, 2017, which were \$5.6 million, by the net assets attributable to common stock as of December 31, 2017, which were \$1.1 billion, and annualizing over four quarterly periods.
- (10) Assuming outstanding indebtedness of \$1.1 billion as of December 31, 2017, calculated by dividing the Company's *pro forma* incentive fees for the quarter ended December 31, 2017, which were \$6.2 million, by the net assets attributable to common stock as of December 31, 2017, which were \$1.1 billion, and annualizing over four quarterly periods.
- (11) Assuming outstanding indebtedness of \$2.3 billion as of December 31, 2017, calculated by dividing the Company's *pro forma* incentive fees for the quarter ended December 31, 2017, which were \$8.3 million, by the net assets attributable to common stock as of December 31, 2017, which were \$1.1 billion, and annualizing over four quarterly periods.
- The Company may borrow funds from time to time to make investments to the extent the Company determines that the economic situation is conducive to doing so. The Company's stockholders indirectly bear the costs of borrowings under any debt instruments that the Company may enter into. The annual interest payments on borrowed funds as a percentage of net assets attributable to common stock is calculated by dividing the actual or *pro forma* interest payments on borrowed funds for the quarter ended December 31, 2017, as applicable, by the net assets attributable to common stock as of December 31, 2017 and annualizing over four quarterly periods. Interest payments on borrowed funds for the quarter ended December 31, 2017 represented the Company's interest expenses for the quarter ended December 31, 2017 under the Company's secured borrowings and the notes issued in connection with a \$400 million term debt securitization completed by the Company through its wholly owned and consolidated subsidiary, Carlyle GMS Finance MM CLO 2015-1 LLC, on June 26, 2015, excluding

fees (such as fees on undrawn amounts and amortization of upfront fees). To calculate the *pro forma* interest payments on borrowed funds for the quarter ended December 31, 2017, the ratio of interest payments on borrowed funds for the quarter ended December 31, 2017 to the total debt outstanding as of December 31, 2017 is applied to the *pro forma* total debt outstanding as of December 31, 2017.

- (13) Includes the Company's estimated overhead expenses, such as payments under the Administration Agreement for certain expenses incurred thereunder. See "Corporate Governance—Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions—Administration Agreement" and "—Sub-Administration Agreements." Refer to note (8) above for the calculation of *pro forma* expenses for the quarter ended December 31, 2017.
- (14) The Company's stockholders indirectly bear the expenses of underlying funds or other investment vehicles in which the Company invests that (1) are investment companies or (2) would be investment companies under Section 3(a) of the Investment Company Act but for the exceptions to that definition provided for in Sections 3(c)(1) and 3(c)(7) of the Investment Company Act. This amount includes the estimated annual fees and expenses of Middle Market Credit Fund, LLC, an unconsolidated limited liability company in which the Company owns a 50% economic interest and co-manages with Credit Partners USA LLC, which was the Company's only acquired fund as of December 31, 2017.

Example

The following example demonstrates the projected dollar amount of total cumulative expenses over various periods with respect to a hypothetical investment in the Company's common stock, assuming (1) a 234.9% asset coverage ratio, which is the Company's actual asset coverage ratio as of December 31, 2017, and total annual expenses of 9.93% of net assets attributable to common stock as set forth in the fees and expenses table above, (2) the currently applicable 200% minimum asset coverage ratio and total annual expenses of 11.57% of net assets attributable to common stock as set forth in the fees and expenses table above, and (3) the proposed 150% minimum asset coverage ratio and total annual expenses of 18.05% of net assets attributable to common stock as set forth in the fees and expenses table above, and, in each case of (1), (2) and (3), (x) a 5% annual return resulting entirely from net realized capital gains (none of which is subject to the incentive fee) and (y) a 5% annual return resulting entirely from net realized capital gains (all of which is subject to the incentive fee based on capital gains). Transaction expenses are included in the following example.

You would pay the following expenses on a \$1,000 common stock investment:	1 year	3 years	5 years	10 years
Under the Company's Actual Asset Coverage Ratio of 234.9% as of December 31, 2017:				
assuming a 5% annual return resulting entirely from net realized capital gains (none of which is subject to the incentive fee) ⁽¹⁾	\$80	\$239	\$398	\$795
assuming a 5% annual return resulting entirely from net realized capital gains (all of which is subject to the incentive fee based on capital gains) ⁽²⁾	\$89	\$265	\$442	\$883
Under the Currently Applicable 200% Minimum Asset Coverage Ratio:				
assuming a 5% annual return resulting entirely from net realized capital gains (none of which is subject to the incentive fee) $^{(1)}$	\$94	\$282	\$470	\$940
assuming a 5% annual return resulting entirely from net realized capital gains (all of which is subject to the incentive fee based on capital gains) ⁽²⁾	\$103	\$308	\$514	\$1028
Under the Proposed 150% Minimum Asset Coverage Ratio:				
assuming a 5% annual return resulting entirely from net realized capital gains (none of which is subject to the incentive fee) ⁽¹⁾	\$151	\$454	\$756	\$1512
assuming a 5% annual return resulting entirely from net realized capital gains (all of which is subject to the incentive fee based on capital gains) ⁽²⁾	\$160	\$480	\$800	\$1600

⁽¹⁾ Assumes that the Company will not realize any capital gains computed net of all realized capital losses and unrealized capital depreciation.

Assumes no unrealized capital depreciation and a 5% annual return resulting entirely from net realized capital gains and therefore subject to the incentive fee based on capital gains. Because the Company's investment strategy involves investments that generate primarily current income, the Company believes that a 5% annual return resulting entirely from net realized capital gains is unlikely.

The foregoing table is to assist you in understanding the various costs and expenses that an investor in the Company's common stock will bear directly or indirectly. While the example assumes, as required by the SEC, a 5% annual return, the Company's performance will vary and may result in a return greater or less than 5%. Because the income portion of the incentive fee under the Investment Advisory Agreement is unlikely to be significant assuming a 5% annual return, the second example assumes that the 5% annual return will be generated entirely through net realized capital gains and, as a result, will trigger the payment of the capital gains portion of the incentive fee under the Investment Advisory Agreement. The income portion of the incentive fee under the Investment Advisory Agreement, which, assuming a 5% annual return, would either not be payable or have an immaterial impact on the expense amounts shown above, is not included in the example. If the Company achieves sufficient returns on its investments, including through net realized capital gains, to trigger an incentive fee of a material amount, the Company's expenses, and returns to its investors, would be higher. In addition, while the example assumes reinvestment of all dividends and distributions at NAV, under certain circumstances, reinvestment of dividends and other distributions under our dividend reinvestment plan may occur at a price per share that differs from NAV.

This example above should not be considered a representation of our future expenses, and actual expenses (including the cost of debt, if any, and other expenses) may be greater or less than those shown.

Limitations of Current Credit Facilities

The Company and its wholly owned subsidiary, TCG BDC SPV LLC ("SPV"), have each entered into a senior secured revolving credit facility (collectively, the "Facilities"). The Facilities include financial covenants that require the Company and the SPV to maintain a 200% minimum asset coverage ratio. The Company plans to seek amendments to the Facilities to lower the minimum asset coverage ratio to 150%. The Company cannot assure you that it will be able to negotiate a change to the Facilities to allow it and/or the SPV to incur additional leverage or that any such amendment will be available to it and/or the SPV on favorable terms. An inability on the part of the Company and/or the SPV to amend the contractual asset coverage limitation and access additional leverage could limit the Company's ability to take advantage of the benefits described above related to its ability to incur additional leverage. Amendments to the Facilities to reduce the asset coverage limitation in the Facilities may result in the lenders demanding higher interest rates being applied to the borrowings under the Facilities, which, in turn, would increase the Company's borrowing costs and limit the benefits of increased leverage.

The Company's Additional Disclosure Obligations

The Company must comply with the following additional disclosure requirements upon approval of the application of the 150% minimum asset coverage ratio to the Company by either a majority of the Company's Independent Directors or the Company's stockholders:

- not later than 5 business days after the date on which the 150% minimum asset coverage ratio is approved, the Company is required to disclose such approval, and the effective date of such approval, in (1) any filing submitted to the SEC under Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Exchange Act (such as the Company's Form 8-K, Form 10-Q or Form 10-K); and (2) a notice on the Company's website (http://tcgbdc.com/), both of which have been done;
- the Company is required to disclose, in each periodic filing required under Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act (i.e., the Company's Form 10-Q or Form 10-K): (1) the aggregate principal amount or liquidation preference, as applicable, of the senior securities issued by the Company and the asset coverage ratio as of the date of the Company's most recent financial statements included in that filing; (2) that the 150% minimum asset coverage ratio was approved; and (3) the effective date of such approval; and
- as an issuer of common stock, the Company is also required to include in each periodic filing required under Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act (i.e., the Company's Form 10-Q or Form 10-K) disclosures that are reasonably designed to ensure that the Company's stockholders are informed of: (1) the amount of senior securities (and the associated asset coverage ratios) of the Company, determined as of the date of the most recent financial statements of the Company included in the

filing; and (2) the principal risk factors associated with the senior securities described in the preceding clause, to the extent that risk is incurred by the Company.

The Board noted that, based on discussions with the Investment Adviser, none of these requirements are burdensome and the additional disclosure is appropriate.

Conclusion

Following consideration of the foregoing benefits and risks, the Board, including all Independent Directors, believes that permitting the Company to apply a minimum asset coverage ratio of 150% as of the earliest possible date is in the best interests of the Company and its stockholders. No single factor was determinative of the Board's decision, but rather, the Directors based their determination on the total mix of information available to them.

Required Vote

Approval requires the receipt of "FOR" votes constituting a majority of the votes cast on the proposal at the Meeting, provided a quorum is present. Abstentions will not be included in determining the number of votes cast and, as a result, will have no effect on this proposal. Shares represented by broker non-votes also are not considered votes cast and thus have no effect on the proposal.

THE BOARD UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS THAT YOU VOTE "FOR" THE ASSET COVERAGE RATIO PROPOSAL.

OTHER BUSINESS

The Board is not aware of any other matter to be submitted at the Meeting. If any other matter properly comes before the Meeting, the persons named in the enclosed form of proxy generally will have discretionary authority to vote the shares thereby represented in accordance with their judgment.

STOCKHOLDER PROPOSALS AND NOMINATIONS FOR THE 2019 ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

Inclusion of Proposals in Our Proxy Statement and Proxy Card Under the SEC's Rules

Any proposal of a stockholder intended to be included in our proxy statement and form of proxy/voting instruction card for the 2019 annual meeting of stockholders pursuant to the SEC's Rule 14a-8 must be received by us no later than December 28, 2018. Such proposals must also comply with the requirements as to form and substance established by the SEC if such proposals are to be included in the proxy statement and form of proxy. All proposals should be addressed to the Secretary of the Company, Erik Barrios, 520 Madison Avenue, 41 Floor, New York, NY 10022.

Bylaw Requirements for Stockholder Submission of Nominations and Proposals

A stockholder recommendation for nomination of a person for election to our board or a proposal for consideration at our 2019 annual meeting of stockholders, other than stockholder proposals submitted pursuant to the SEC's Rule 14a-8, must be submitted in accordance with the advance notice procedures and other requirements set forth in our Bylaws. These requirements are separate from the requirements discussed above to have the stockholder nomination or other proposal included in our proxy statement and form of proxy/voting instruction card pursuant to the SEC's rules. The item to be brought before the meeting must be a proper subject for stockholder action. Our Bylaws require that, to be timely, a stockholder's notice shall set forth all information required and shall be delivered to the Secretary at the principal executive office of the Company at the above address not earlier than the 150th day nor later than 5:00 p.m., Eastern Time, on the 120th day prior to the first anniversary of the date of the proxy statement for the Meeting. As a result, a stockholder's notice pursuant to these provisions of our Bylaws must be received no earlier than November 28, 2018 and no later than 5:00 p.m., Eastern Time, on December 28, 2018; provided, however, that in the event that the date of the 2019 annual meeting of stockholders is advanced or delayed by more than 30 days from the first anniversary of the Meeting, notice by the stockholder to be timely must be so delivered not earlier than the 150th day prior to the date of the 2019 annual meeting of stockholders and not later than 5:00 p.m., Eastern Time, on the later of the 120th day prior to the date of such annual meeting, as originally convened, or the 10th day following the day on which public announcement of the date of such meeting is first made.

ANNUAL REPORT

A copy of our Annual Report, which consists of our 2017 Form 10-K (including financial statements), is available, along with the Proxy Statement, online at https://proxyonline.com/docs/tcgbdc2018.pdf. If a printed copy of the Proxy Statement is requested, the Annual Report will be furnished with the Proxy Statement.

WHETHER OR NOT YOU PLAN TO ATTEND THE MEETING, WE URGE YOU TO VOTE OVER THE INTERNET, BY TELEPHONE OR BY MARKING, SIGNING AND RETURNING YOUR PROXY OR VOTING INSTRUCTION CARD AS SOON AS POSSIBLE. NO POSTAGE IS NECESSARY IF MAILED IN THE UNITED STATES.

By Order of the Board of Directors,

/s/ Erik Barrios

Erik Barrios Secretary

TCG BDC, INC.

PRIVACY NOTICE

As part of our compliance with the provisions of certain privacy regulations issued by the United States federal government, we are required to provide you with notice of our policies and practices relating to the use and sharing of your personal information.

We have a policy to maintain the confidentiality, integrity and security of our current and former investors' non-public personal information. Accordingly, we have developed internal policies to protect confidentiality while allowing investors' needs to be met. We will not disclose any non-public personal information about investors who are individuals, except to our affiliates and service providers as allowed by applicable law or regulation or to other nonaffiliated third parties as otherwise permitted or required by applicable law or regulation. In the normal course of serving our investors, information we collect may be shared with companies that perform various services such as our accountants and attorneys. We collect non-public information about you from the following sources:

- Information we receive on subscription agreements or other forms, such as name, address, account number and the types and amounts of investments; and
- Information about transactions with us or our affiliates, such as participation in other investment programs, ownership of certain types of accounts or other account data and activity.

We may disclose the information that we collect from our investors or former investors, as described above, only to our affiliates and service providers and only as allowed by applicable law or regulation or to other nonaffiliated third parties as otherwise permitted or required by applicable law or regulation. Any party that receives this information will be required to use it only for the services required by us and as allowed by applicable law or regulation, and is not permitted to share or use this information for any other purpose. To protect the non-public personal information of individuals, we permit access only by authorized personnel who need access to that information to provide services to the fund and its investors. In order to guard investors' non-public personal information, we maintain physical, electronic and procedural safeguards that are designed to comply with applicable law.

Non-public personal information that we collect about you will generally be stored on secured servers located in the United States. An individual investor's right to privacy extends to all forms of contact with us, including telephone, written correspondence and electronic media, such as the Internet.

Sincerely,

TCG BDC, Inc.

THE CARLYLE GROUP

PROXY VOTE TODAY!

PROXY CARD

SIGN, DATE AND VOTE ON THE REVERSE SIDE

→

YOUR VOTE IS IMPORTANT NO MATTER HOW MANY SHARES YOU OWN. THE MATTERS WE ARE SUBMITTING FOR YOUR CONSIDERATION ARE SIGNIFICANT TO THE COMPANY AND TO YOU AS A COMPANY STOCKHOLDER. PLEASE TAKE THE TIME TO READ THE PROXY STATEMENT AND CAST YOUR

PROXY VOTING OPTIONS



 MAIL your signed and voted proxy back in the postage paid envelope provided



 ONLINE at <u>proxyonline.com</u> using your control number found below



3. LIVE with a <u>live operator</u> when you call toll-free (866) 796-7181 Monday through Friday 9 a.m. to 10 p.m. Eastern time

CONTROL NUMBER (> 12345678910

TCG BDC, INC. PROXY IN CONNECTION WITH THE ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS TO BE HELD ON JUNE 6, 2018

The undersigned stockholder of TCG BDC, Inc. (the "Company") acknowledges receipt of the Notice of the 2018 Annual Meeting of Stockholders of the Company and the Proxy Statement and hereby appoints Erik Barrios and Venugopal Rathi, and each of them, and each with full power of substitution, to act as attorneys and proxies for the undersigned to vote all the shares of common stock of the Company which the undersigned is entitled to vote at the 2018 Annual Meeting of Stockholders of the Company to be held at the offices of the Company, 520 Madison Avenue, New York, NY 10022 on June 6, 2018, 2018, at 8:00 a.m., local time, and at any postponements or adjournments thereof, as indicated on this proxy. The named proxies are also authorized to vote in their discretion on such other matters as may properly come before the 2018 Annual Meeting of Stockholders or at any postponement or adjournment thereof.

THIS PROXY IS SOLICITED ON BEHALF OF THE COMPANY'S BOARD OF DIRECTORS, AND THE PROPOSALS (SET FORTH ON THE REVERSE SIDE OF THIS PROXY CARD) HAVE BEEN APPROVED BY THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS AND RECOMMENDED FOR APPROVAL BY THE STOCKHOLDERS.

Do you have questions?

If you have any questions about how to vote your proxy or about the meeting in general, please call the Company at (212) 813-4900. **Representatives are available to assist you** Monday through Friday 9 a.m. to 10 p.m. Eastern Time.

IMPORTANT NOTICE REGARDING THE AVAILABILITY OF PROXY MATERIALS FOR THE 2018 ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS TO BE HELD ON JUNE 6, 2018. The Proxy Statement is available at: https://proxyonline.com/docs/tcgbdc2018.pdf/.

[PROXY ID NUMBER HERE]

[BAR CODE HERE]

[CUSIP HERE]

TCG BDC, INC.

YOUR SIGNATURE IS REQUIRED FOR YOUR VOTE TO BE COUNTED.

Please sign this proxy card exactly as your name(s) appear(s) on the books of the Company. Joint owners should each sign personally. Trustees and other fiduciaries should indicate the capacity in which they sign, and where more than one name appears, a majority must sign. If a corporation, the signature should be that of an authorized officer who should state his or her title.

SIGNATURE (AND TITLE IF APPLICABLE)	DATE	
SIGNATURE (IF HELD JOINTLY)	DATE	

PROXY CARD

IF THIS PROXY IS PROPERLY EXECUTED, THE VOTES ENTITLED TO BE CAST BY THE UNDERSIGNED WILL BE CAST IN THE MANNER DIRECTED BELOW, AND WILL BE VOTED IN THE DISCRETION OF THE PROXY HOLDER(S) ON ANY OTHER MATTERS THAT MAY PROPERLY COME BEFORE THE ANNUAL MEETING OR AT ANY ADJOURNMENT(S) OR POSTPONEMENT(S) THEREOF. IF THIS PROXY IS PROPERLY EXECUTED BUT NO DIRECTION IS MADE AS REGARDS TO A PROPOSAL INCLUDED IN THE PROXY STATEMENT, SUCH VOTES ENTITLED TO BE CAST BY THE UNDERSIGNED WILL BE CAST "FOR" SUCH PROPOSAL.

TO VOTE, MARK ONE CIRCLE IN BLUE OR BLACK INK. Example:

Proposal(s):

Prop	Proposai(s):				
			FOR	WITHHOLD	
(1)	Election of Directors – To elect two directors, each to serve for a three-year term until his successor is duly elected and qualified or until his earlier death, resignation or removal.				
	Nominees:				
	1a. Eliot P.S. Merrill	i	i	i	
	1b. Nigel D.T. Andrews	i	i	i	
		FOR	AGAINST	ABSTAIN	
(2)	To ratify the selection of Ernst & Young LLP as the independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2018.	i	i	i	
(3)	To consider and vote upon a proposal to approve the application of a minimum asset coverage ratio of 150% to the Company, which would permit the Company to double the maximum amount of leverage that it is permitted to incur.	i	i	i	
(4)	To transact such other business as may properly come before the Meeting or at any				

postponement or adjournment thereof.

Please refer to the Company's Proxy Statement for a discussion of each proposal.

You can vote on the Internet, by telephone or by marking, signing and returning this proxy card by mail. Please see the reverse side for instructions.

REMEMBER TO SIGN AND DATE ABOVE BEFORE MAILING IN YOUR VOTE. THIS PROXY CARD IS VALID ONLY WHEN SIGNED AND DATED. PROXY CARDS MUST BE RECEIVED BY JUNE 5, 2018 TO BE COUNTED. THANK YOU FOR VOTING

[PROXY ID NUMBER HERE] [BAR CODE HERE] [CUSIP HERE]